

# Change-of-base for generalized multicategories

Rui Prezado  
Universidade de Aveiro

joint work with F. Lucatelli Nunes

Second Virtual Workshop on Double Categories

30 October 2024

## Original motivation

Theorem (Lucatelli Nunes 2018; Cottrell, Fujii, Power 2017)

For a suitable cartesian monoidal category  $\mathcal{V}$ , we have an adjunction

$$\mathcal{V}\text{-Cat} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \text{Cat}(\mathcal{V})$$

whose left adjoint is fully faithful.

## Enriched-internal dichotomy as change-of-base

We have

$$\text{Set} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{-*1} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{V}(1,-)} \end{array} \mathcal{V} \quad \mapsto \quad \mathcal{V}\text{-Mat} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{-*1} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{V}(1,-)} \end{array} \text{Span}(\mathcal{V})$$

which, in turn, induces the adjunction

$$\mathcal{V}\text{-Cat} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{-*1} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{V}(1,-)} \end{array} \text{Cat}(\mathcal{V})$$

## Enriched-internal dichotomy as change-of-base

$$\mathcal{V}\text{-Cat} \quad \overset{\curvearrowright}{\perp} \quad \text{Cat}(\mathcal{V})$$

- Can we obtain a similar result for generalized multicategories?
- Can we also exhibit this as a change-of-base adjunction?

## Vector spaces and multilinear maps

Let  $V_1, \dots, V_n, W$  be vector spaces.

A *multilinear map*  $f: (V_1, \dots, V_n) \rightarrow W$  consists of a function

$$f: V_1 \times \dots \times V_n \rightarrow W$$

that is linear in each component:

$$f(v_1, \dots, v_j + \lambda w, \dots, v_n) = f(v_1, \dots, v_n) + \lambda f(v_1, \dots, w, \dots, v_n)$$

## Vector spaces and multilinear maps

Let  $f: (V_1, \dots, V_n) \rightarrow W$  be a multilinear map.

- If  $n = 1$ ,  $f$  is a linear map.
- If we have a list of multilinear maps

$$g_j: (U_{j1}, \dots, U_{jk_j}) \rightarrow V_j,$$

then the function

$$f \circ (g_1 \times \dots \times g_n)$$

defines a multilinear map, which is denoted

$$f \circ (g_1, \dots, g_n): (U_{11}, \dots, U_{1k_1}, \dots, U_{n1}, \dots, U_{nk_n}) \rightarrow W$$

## Multicategory Vect

The composition operation satisfies suitable associativity and identity laws.

Hence, vector spaces and multilinear maps form a *multicategory*.

## Multicategories

Let  $X^*$  be the free monoid generated by a set  $X$ . A *multicategory*  $\mathcal{M}$  consists of

- a set  $\mathcal{M}_0$ ,
- a span

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{M}_1 & \\ d_1 \swarrow & & \searrow d_0 \\ \mathcal{M}_0^* & & \mathcal{M}_0 \end{array}$$

- a function  $s_0: \mathcal{M}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1$  (units),
- a function  $d_1: \mathcal{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_1$  (composition),

satisfying suitable associativity and identity properties, where

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{M}_1 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow d_1 \\ \mathcal{M}_1^* & \xrightarrow{d_0^*} & \mathcal{M}_0^* \end{array}$$



## Generalized multicategories

Multicategories generalize categories by allowing the domain to be a finite list of objects.

By abstracting the “shape” of the domain of a morphism, we obtain the notion of *generalized multicategory*.

These “shapes” are modeled by suitable monads.

# Topological spaces

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the ultrafilter monad on  $\mathbf{Set}$ .

## Theorem (Barr, 1970)

A topological space  $X$  is characterized by

- its underlying set  $X$ ,
- a convergence relation  $\rightsquigarrow \subseteq \mathcal{U}(X) \times X$ : we have  $\mathfrak{x} \rightsquigarrow x$  whenever the ultrafilter  $\mathfrak{x}$  on  $X$  converges to  $x$ .
- the convergence relation must satisfy suitable reflexivity and transitivity conditions.

## Topological spaces

- Principal ultrafilters:  $\mathfrak{p}: X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(X)$ .
- Flattening ultrafilters of ultrafilters:  $\mathfrak{m}: \mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(X)$ .
- Reflexivity:  $\mathfrak{p}(x) \rightsquigarrow x$ .
- Transitivity: If  $\mathfrak{X} \rightsquigarrow^* \mathfrak{x}$  and  $\mathfrak{x} \rightsquigarrow x$ , then  $\mathfrak{m}(\mathfrak{X}) \rightsquigarrow x$ .

Thus, a topological space is a generalized ordered set.

$$\text{Top} \simeq (\mathcal{U}, 2)\text{-Cat}$$

## Settings for generalized multicategories

- $\mathcal{V}$  category with finite limits.
- Cartesian monad  $T = (T, m, e)$  on  $\mathcal{V}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TT(x) & \xrightarrow{m_x} & T(x) \\ TT(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow T(f) \\ TT(y) & \xrightarrow{m_y} & T(y) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} x & \xrightarrow{e_x} & T(x) \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow T(f) \\ y & \xrightarrow{e_y} & T(y) \end{array}$$

### Internal $T$ -categories (Burrone 1971, Hermida 2000)

$T$  induces a suitable monad on  $\text{Span}(\mathcal{V})$ .

The category  $\text{Cat}(T, \mathcal{V})$  of internal  $T$ -categories is given by a suitable notion of *lax algebras* over  $T$ .

## Settings for generalized multicategories

- $\mathcal{V}$  distributive monoidal category.
- A suitable lax monad  $T$  on  $\mathcal{V}\text{-Mat}$ .

### Enriched $T$ -categories (Clementino, Tholen 2003)

The category  $(T, \mathcal{V})\text{-Cat}$  of enriched  $T$ -categories is given by a suitable notion of *lax algebras* over  $T$ .

## Settings for generalized multicategories

Definition (Cruttwell, Shulman 2010)

Let  $\mathbf{VDbCat}$  be the 2-category of

- virtual double categories,
- functors of virtual double categories,
- vertical transformations between such functors.

A *setting* for generalized multicategories is a monad in  $\mathbf{VDbCat}$ .

## Horizontal lax algebras

Let  $T = (T, m, e)$  be a monad on a virtual double category  $\mathbb{V}$ .

### $T$ -monoids (Cruttwell, Shulman 2010)

A *horizontal lax  $T$ -algebra* consists of a quadruple

- an object  $x$  of  $\mathbb{V}$ ,
- a horizontal morphism  $a: T(x) \rightarrow x$ ,
- a unit 2-cell  $\eta$  and a multiplication 2-cell  $\mu$  given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & x & \\ e_x \swarrow & & \searrow \\ T(x) & \xrightarrow{a} & x \end{array} \quad \eta$$
$$\begin{array}{ccccc} TT(x) & \xrightarrow{T(a)} & T(x) & \xrightarrow{a} & x \\ m_x \downarrow & & \mu & & \parallel \\ T(x) & \xrightarrow{a} & & \xrightarrow{a} & x \end{array}$$

satisfying suitable identity and associativity conditions.

# The problem with of change-of-base

## Monad morphisms (Street, 1972)

Let

- $T$  be a monad on  $\mathbb{V}$ ,
- $S$  be a monad on  $\mathbb{W}$ .

A *monad morphism*  $T \rightarrow S$  consists of:

- A functor  $F: \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{W}$ ,
- A vertical transformation  $\phi: SF \rightarrow FT$

satisfying suitable properties.



## The problem with of change-of-base

Let  $(F, \phi): T \rightarrow S$  be a monad morphism.

If  $(x, a, \eta, \mu)$  is a horizontal lax  $T$ -algebra, how do we obtain the horizontal lax  $S$ -algebra induced by  $(F, \phi)$ ?

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SF(x) & \xrightarrow{?} & F(x) \\ & & \phi_x \downarrow \\ FT(x) & \xrightarrow{F(a)} & F(x) \end{array}$$

The solution we propose:

- “Flip”  $\phi$  into a “horizontal transformation”.
- Have horizontal composites.

## Conjoints and companions

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  be a pseudodouble category.

A *conjoint* of a vertical morphism  $f: x \rightarrow y$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  consists of

- A horizontal morphism  $r: y \rightarrow x$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ ,
- Unit and counit 2-cells in  $\mathbb{D}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x & \xrightarrow{1_x} & x \\ f \downarrow & \eta & \parallel \\ y & \xrightarrow{r} & x \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} y & \xrightarrow{r} & x \\ \parallel & \epsilon & \downarrow f \\ y & \xrightarrow{1_y} & y \end{array}$$

- Satisfying  $\epsilon \circ \eta = 1_f$  and  $\eta \cdot \epsilon \cong \text{id}_r$ .

We write  $r = f^*$  and  $f \dashv f^*$ .

Companions are defined dually (vertical or horizontal), and we write  $f! \dashv f$ .

## Conjoints and companions

### Lemma

If  $\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{E}$  are pseudodouble categories, then we have two pseudodouble categories

$$\mathbf{Lax}_{\text{lax}}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{E}) \quad \mathbf{Lax}_{\text{opl}}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{E})$$

that have

- lax functors as objects,
- vertical transformations as vertical morphisms,
- lax (respectively, oplax) horizontal transformations as horizontal morphisms,
- generalized modifications as 2-cells.

## Conjoints and companions

A pseudodouble category  $\mathbb{D}$  is *conjoint-closed* if every vertical morphism has a conjoint. Dually, we have *companion-closed* pseudodouble categories.

### Theorem (P., Lucatelli Nunes 2023)

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  and  $\mathbb{E}$  be pseudodouble categories.

- If  $\mathbb{E}$  is conjoint-closed, then  $\mathbf{Lax}_{\mathbf{lax}}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{E})$  is conjoint-closed.
- If  $\mathbb{E}$  is companion-closed, then  $\mathbf{Lax}_{\mathbf{opl}}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{E})$  is companion-closed.

## Conjoints and companions

Hence, if

- $\mathbb{E}$  is an equipment,
- $F, G: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$  are lax functors,
- $\phi: F \rightarrow G$  is a vertical transformation,

then we have

- a lax horizontal transformation  $\phi^*: G \rightarrow F$ , with  $\phi \dashv \phi^*$ ,
- an oplax horizontal transformation  $\phi_!: F \rightarrow G$ , with  $\phi_! \dashv \phi$ .

We say  $\phi$  has a *strong* conjoint/companion if  $\phi^*/\phi_!$  is a strong horizontal transformation.

## Change-of-base for horizontal lax algebras

- Ambient 2-category:  $\mathbf{Equip}_{\text{lax}}$  of equipments, lax functors, vertical transformations.
- We let  $T, S$  be monads on the respective equipments  $\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{E}$ .
- We let  $(F, \phi): T \rightarrow S$  be a monad morphism, such that  $\phi$  and  $T(\phi)$  have strong adjoints.
- We let  $(G, \psi): S \rightarrow T$  be a monad opmorphism.

Let  $\mathbb{H}\text{LaxAlg}(T)$  be the category of horizontal lax  $T$ -algebras and respective morphisms.

**Theorem (P., Lucatelli Nunes 2023)**

The correspondences

$$(x, a, \eta, \mu) \mapsto (F(x), F(a) \cdot \phi_x^*, F_!(\eta), F_!(\mu)) \quad (y, b, \eta, \mu) \mapsto (G(y), G(b) \cdot \psi_{!y}, G_!(\eta), G_!(\mu))$$

define functors

$$(F, \phi)_!: \mathbb{H}\text{LaxAlg}(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\text{LaxAlg}(S), \quad (G, \psi)^*: \mathbb{H}\text{LaxAlg}(S) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}\text{LaxAlg}(T).$$

## Back to our motivation

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a suitable category, let  $T$  be a cartesian monad on  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Can we obtain an adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{-\cdot 1} & \\ & \text{---} & \\ (? , \mathcal{V})\text{-Cat} & \perp & \text{Cat}(T, \mathcal{V}) \\ & \text{---} & \\ & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{V}(1, -)} & \end{array}$$

with our tools?

## Induced lax monad

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be lex extensive,  $T$  cartesian monad on  $\mathcal{V}$ .

$$\text{Set} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{-\cdot 1} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{V}(1,-)} \end{array} \mathcal{V} \quad (\text{in Cat})$$

$$\mathcal{V}\text{-Mat} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{-\cdot 1} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{V}(1,-)} \end{array} \text{Span}(\mathcal{V}) \quad (\text{in Equip}_{\text{lax}})$$



## Induced lax monad

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be lextensive,  $T$  cartesian monad on  $\mathcal{V}$ .

$$\bar{T} \dashrightarrow \text{Set} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{-\cdot 1} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{V}(1,-)} \end{array} \mathcal{V} \rightleftarrows T \quad (\text{in Cat})$$

$$\bar{T} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{-Mat} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{-\cdot 1} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{V}(1,-)} \end{array} \text{Span}(\mathcal{V}) \rightleftarrows T \quad (\text{in Equip}_{\text{lax}})$$

## Generalized enriched-internal dichotomy

Theorem (P., Lucatelli Nunes 2023)

Under suitable\* conditions, we have an adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{- \cdot 1} & \\ (\overline{T}, \mathcal{V})\text{-Cat} & \perp & \text{Cat}(T, \mathcal{V}) \\ & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{V}(1, -)} & \end{array}$$

Theorem (P., Lucatelli Nunes 2023)

If  $- \cdot 1: \text{Set} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is fully faithful, then so is  $- \cdot 1: (\overline{T}, \mathcal{V})\text{-Cat} \rightarrow \text{Cat}(T, \mathcal{V})$ .

Thank you!