THE GROTHENDIECK CONSTRUCTION FOR DELTA LENSES

BRYCE CLARKE

ABSTRACT. Delta lenses are functors equipped with a functorial choice of lifts, generalising the notion of split opfibration. In this paper, we introduce a Grothendieck construction (or category of elements) for delta lenses, thus demonstrating a correspondence between delta lenses and certain lax double functors into the double category of sets, functions, and split multivalued functions. We show that the double category of split multivalued functions admits a universal property as a certain kind of limit, and inherits many nice properties from the double category of spans. Applications of this construction to the theory of delta lenses are explored in detail.

1. INTRODUCTION

Delta lenses were introduced in 2011 by Diskin, Xiong, and Czarnecki [22] as an algebraic framework for bidirectional transformations [1, 18]. In 2013, Johnson and Rosebrugh [33] showed that every split opfibration has an underlying delta lens, thus initiating an ongoing study of delta lenses using category theory. The Grothendieck construction [32] is widely recognised as one of the most important concepts in category theory, and establishes a correspondence between split opfibrations and functors into the category $\mathcal{C}at$ of (small) categories and functors. Given the close connection between delta lenses and split opfibrations, it is natural to ask: is there a Grothendieck construction for delta lenses?

The central contribution of this work is a correspondence, as displayed below, between delta lenses and certain lax double functors into the double category of sets, functions, and split multivalued functions.

$$\text{delta lenses } \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \qquad \leftrightsquigarrow \qquad \text{lax double functors } \mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\text{Mult}$$

This correspondence extends to an equivalence of categories (Theorem 35).

1.1. **Overview of the main result.** We now provide a concise overview of the main result and introduce the necessary technical details to understand it.

A *delta lens* is a pair (f, φ) consisting of a functor $f \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ equipped with a lifting operation that provides, for each object $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and morphism $u \colon fa \to b$ in $\mathcal{B}$, a morphism $\varphi(a, u) \colon a \to a'$ in $\mathcal{A}$, called a *chosen lift*, such that $f\varphi(a, u) = u$. The lifting operation is required to preserve identities and composition of morphisms. Let $\mathcal{L}$ens denote the category whose objects are delta lenses, and whose morphisms $(h, k) \colon (f, \varphi) \to (g, \psi)$ are pairs of functors that commute with the underlying functors and preserve the chosen lifts; that is, $kf = gh$ and $h\varphi(a, u) = \psi(ha, ku)$.

A *split multivalued function* $A \twoheadrightarrow B$ is a diagram of functions as below.

$$A \underset{s}{\overset{\sigma}{\rightleftarrows}} X \overset{t}{\longrightarrow} B \qquad\qquad s \circ \sigma = 1_A \qquad\qquad (1)$$

A lax double functor $F \colon \mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$, where $\mathcal{B}$ is a category, is called an *indexed split multivalued function*[1]. We can unpack this data without mentioning double category theory. An indexed split multivalued function $(\mathcal{B}, F)$ consists of a category $\mathcal{B}$, a set $F(x)$ for each object $x \in \mathcal{B}$, and a split multivalued function

$$F(x) \underset{s_u}{\overset{\sigma_u}{\rightleftarrows}} F(u) \overset{t_u}{\longrightarrow} F(y) \qquad\qquad s_u \circ \sigma_u = 1_{F(x)}$$

for each morphism $u \colon x \to y$ in $\mathcal{B}$. There is also a function $\mu_{(u,v)} \colon F(u, v) \to F(v \circ u)$ for each composable pair $(u \colon x \to y, v \colon y \to z)$ in $\mathcal{B}$, where $F(u, v)$ is the pullback of t_u and s_v. This data is required to satisfy several natural axioms.

A morphism $(k, \theta) \colon (\mathcal{B}, F) \to (\mathcal{D}, G)$ of indexed split multivalued functions consists of a functor $k \colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}$, a function $\theta_x \colon F(x) \to G(kx)$ for each object $x \in \mathcal{B}$, and a function $\theta_u \colon F(u) \to G(ku)$ for each morphism $u \colon x \to y$ in $\mathcal{B}$. This data also satisfies several natural axioms. Let $\mathcal{I}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult})$ denote the category of indexed split multivalued functions and morphisms between them.

Theorem. *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathcal{E}\ell \colon \mathcal{I}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}) \overset{\simeq}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens}$$

between the categories of indexed split multivalued functions and delta lenses.

The functor $\mathcal{E}\ell \colon \mathcal{I}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}) \to \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens}$ describes the *Grothendieck construction* for delta lenses, or alternatively, the *category of elements* of an indexed split multivalued function.

---

[1]To be more precise, it should be called a *covariant lax $\mathcal{C}$at-indexed split multivalued function*.

1.2. **A proof sketch.** It is not too difficult to see why this result should be true.

Given an indexed split multivalued function $(\mathcal{B}, F)$, we may construct a category $\mathcal{E}\ell(\mathcal{B}, F)$ whose objects are pairs $(x \in \mathcal{B}, a \in F(x))$ and morphisms are pairs $(u\colon x \to y \in \mathcal{B}, \alpha \in F(u))\colon (x, s_u(\alpha)) \to (y, t_u(\alpha))$. The identity on an object (x, a) is the morphism $(1_x, \sigma_{1_x}(a))$, and composition of morphisms is determined by the functions $\mu_{(u,v)}$. There is a functor $\pi\colon \mathcal{E}\ell(\mathcal{B}, F) \to \mathcal{B}$ given by projection in the first component, and this admits a delta lens structure, since for each object $(x, a) \in \mathcal{E}\ell(\mathcal{B}, F)$ and morphism $u\colon x \to y$ in $\mathcal{B}$, there is a chosen lift $(u, \sigma_u(a))\colon (x, a) \to (y, t_u\sigma_u(a))$.

Conversely, given a delta lens $(f, \varphi)\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$, we may construct an indexed split multivalued function $(\mathcal{B}, F)$ consisting of the fibre sets $F(x) = \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid fa = x\}$ and $F(u) = \{\alpha\colon a \to a' \mid f\alpha = u\}$ for each object $x \in \mathcal{B}$ and morphism $u\colon x \to y$ in $\mathcal{B}$. The functions s_u, t_u and $\mu_{(u,v)}$ are given by the source, target, and composition operations of $\mathcal{A}$, while $\sigma_u\colon F(x) \rightarrowtail F(u)$ is determined by the lifting operation $a \mapsto \varphi(a, u)$ of the delta lens.

The key insight here is that the lifting operation φ of a delta lens is the same as a choice of section σ_u of the "source leg" of an indexed span $F(x) \leftarrow F(u) \to F(y)$, such that these choices respect the identities and composition in $\mathcal{B}$. If we put aside this data, we find the well-known correspondence between functors and certain lax functors into the double category of sets, functions, and spans [5, 43, 45].

$$\mathcal{I}\mathrm{dx}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}) \simeq \mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}^{\mathbf{2}}$$

Rather than proceeding with our proof sketch to establish the equivalence between the categories of indexed split multivalued functions and delta lenses, which would involve checking many tedious details, we instead leverage the equivalence above construct an abstract proof. The focus is to develop a clear understanding of the Grothendieck construction for delta lenses using double category theory.

1.3. **Outline and contributions.** We now provide an outline of the paper and details of the significant contributions and results.

In Section 2, we construct an equivalence $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \simeq \mathcal{D}\mathrm{iaLens}$ between the categories of delta lenses and diagrammatic delta lenses. A *diagrammatic delta lens* (f, p) is simply a commuting diagram of functors

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\ p\ } & \mathcal{A} \\
& {}_{fp}\searrow \quad \swarrow_{f} & \\
& \mathcal{B} &
\end{array}
$$

such that p is *identity-on-objects* and fp is a *discrete opfibration*. The correspondence between delta lenses and diagrammatic delta lenses was introduced by the author in 2019 (see [9, Corollary 20] and [10, Proposition 3.7/3.8]), however an explicit statement of the equivalence did not appear until much later [15, Proposition 2.15]. Unfortunately, despite the importance and usefulness of this result, a detailed proof in the literature has remained absent; we now fill this gap in Theorem 12.

In Section 3, we introduce the double category $\mathbb{S}$Mult of sets, functions, and split multivalued functions. Closely related to $\mathbb{S}$Mult is the double category $\mathbb{S}$q($\mathbb{S}$et) whose cells are commutative squares of functions, and the double category $\mathbb{S}$pan of sets, functions, and spans. We show that there is a diagram of double functors

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
& \mathbb{S}\text{Mult} & \\
U_1 \swarrow & \underset{\sigma}{\Longrightarrow} & \searrow U_2 \\
\mathbb{S}\text{q}(\mathbb{S}\text{et}) & \underset{K_*}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{S}\text{pan}
\end{array}
$$

where K_* sends a function $f\colon A \to B$ to its companion span $(1_A, A, f)\colon A \nrightarrow B$, and a split multivalued function (1) is sent to its underlying function $t \circ \sigma\colon A \to B$ by U_1 and its underlying span $(s, X, t)\colon A \nrightarrow B$ by U_2. A *globular transformation* between double functors is defined as analogously to that of an *icon* between morphisms of bicategories [37], and $\sigma\colon K_*U_1 \Rightarrow U_2$ is a globular transformation whose component at a split multivalued function (1) is given by the following cell in $\mathbb{S}$pan.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
A & \xleftarrow{\;1_A\;} & A & \xrightarrow{\;t\sigma\;} & B \\
1_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow 1_B \\
A & \xleftarrow{\;s\;} & X & \xrightarrow{\;t\;} & B
\end{array}
$$

The main contribution in Section 3 is characterising $\mathbb{S}$Mult has a certain kind of limit — the terminal globular cone over K_* — and demonstrate that it has both a 1-dimensional and 2-dimensional universal property (Theorem 21 and Theorem 22). As a corollary, we obtain an isomorphism $\mathcal{G}\text{lobCone}(\mathcal{D}\text{bl}, K_*) \cong \mathcal{I}\text{dx}(\mathcal{D}\text{bl}, \mathbb{S}\text{Mult})$ between categories whose objects are globular cones over $K_*\colon \mathbb{S}\text{q}(\mathbb{S}\text{et}) \to \mathbb{S}\text{pan}$ and lax double functors into $\mathbb{S}$Mult, respectively.

There is a fully faithful functor $\mathbb{L}\text{o}\colon \mathcal{C}\text{at} \to \mathcal{D}\text{bl}$ which constructs, for each category $\mathcal{B}$, a strict double category $\mathbb{L}\text{o}(\mathcal{B})$ whose objects and loose morphisms are the objects and morphisms of $\mathcal{B}$, and whose tight morphisms and cells are identities. Using this embedding we can construct an isomorphism $\mathcal{G}\text{lobCone}(\mathcal{C}\text{at}, K_*) \cong \mathcal{I}\text{dx}(\mathcal{C}\text{at}, \mathbb{S}\text{Mult})$,

yielding a bijection between globular cones and lax double functors as follows.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
& \mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) & & \mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \\
{}^{F_1}\swarrow \quad {}^{\varphi}\!\!\Downarrow \quad \searrow^{F_2} & & \leftsquigarrow & {}^{F}\downarrow \\
\mathbb{S}q(\mathbb{S}et) \xrightarrow[K_*]{} \mathbb{S}pan & & & \mathbb{S}Mult
\end{array}
$$

In Section 4, we demonstrate a correspondence between globular cones as above and diagrammatic delta lenses, then assemble a series of equivalences to prove our main theorem. As recalled in Subsection 1.2, there is a correspondence between functors into $\mathcal{B}$ and lax double functors $\mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}pan$. We can see that lax double functors $\mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}pan$ which factor through $K_* \colon \mathbb{S}q(\mathbb{S}et) \to \mathbb{S}pan$ are the same as functors $\mathcal{B} \to \mathbb{S}et$. This observation together with the classical "category of elements" construction yields an equivalence $\mathcal{I}dx(\mathbb{C}at, \mathbb{S}q(\mathbb{S}et)) \simeq \mathcal{D}Opf$ between categories whose objects are (strict) double functors $\mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}q(\mathbb{S}et)$ and *discrete opfibrations*, respectively. In Lemma 33 we show a correspondence between *identity-on-objects* morphisms in $\mathbb{C}at/\mathcal{B}$ and globular transformations between lax double functors $\mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}pan$. Using these facts, we obtain the desired equivalence $\mathcal{D}iaLens \simeq \mathcal{G}lobCone(\mathbb{C}at, K_*)$ in Theorem 34.

The central contribution of Section 4 and the main result of the paper, Theorem 35, follows immediately from the established sequence of equivalences.

$$
\mathcal{L}ens \simeq \mathcal{D}iaLens \simeq \mathcal{G}lobCone(\mathbb{C}at, K_*) \cong \mathcal{I}dx(\mathbb{C}at, \mathbb{S}Mult)
$$

In Section 5, we examine several consequences, examples, and applications of our main result to the theory of delta lenses, including:

- Using adjunctions with $\mathbb{S}Mult$ to recover adjunctions with $\mathcal{L}ens$;
- Characterising split opfibrations as indexed split multivalued functions;
- Showing that delta lenses correspond to normal lax double functors into the double category $\mathbb{M}od(\mathbb{S}Mult)$ of bimodules;
- Examining the pullback and pushforward of delta lenses;
- Characterising *retrofunctors* as certain indexed split multivalued functions;
- Proving that the functor sending an indexed split multivalued function $(\mathcal{B}, F)$ to its category of elements $\mathcal{E}\ell(\mathcal{B}, F)$ is a left adjoint;
- Exhibiting monoidal structures on $\mathcal{L}ens$, and the fibres of the codomain functor $\mathrm{cod} \colon \mathcal{L}ens \to \mathbb{C}at$, as arising from monoidal structures on $\mathbb{S}Mult$;
- Characterising natural classes of delta lenses via factorisations through sub-double categories of $\mathbb{S}Mult$.

1.4. **Context and related work.** This work sits at the intersection of research on delta lenses, Grothendieck constructions, and double categories.

The notion of delta lens was preceded by the concept of *state-based lens*, which consists of a pair of functions $A \to B$ and $A \times B \to A$ satisfying three axioms [25]. State-based lenses were later shown to be the same as delta lenses between *codiscrete categories* [35]. In their seminal paper [33], Johnson and Rosebrugh showed that delta lenses capture the underlying structure of split opfibrations, and characterised them as certain algebras for a *semi-monad* (an endofunctor T with an associative multiplication $\mu \colon T^2 \Rightarrow T$) on the slice category $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}/\mathcal{B}$, connecting with the characterisations of state-based lenses [36] and split opfibrations [48] as algebras.

Delta lenses have typically been treated as *morphisms* between categories due to their applications in computer science. The properties of the category of (small) categories and delta lenses have been studied in several places [8, 20, 21], although these are usually less nice than in $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}$. Ahman and Uustalu [4] characterised delta lenses as a compatible functor and *retrofunctor* pair. Retrofunctors are another natural notion of morphism between categories, introduced under the name *cofunctor* by Aguiar [2], and arise both as morphisms of monads in $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}$ [44] and morphisms of polynomial comonads on $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{et}$ [3, 47]. The link between delta lenses and retrofunctors led to their representation as certain commutative diagrams in $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}$, and their relationship with split opfibrations in internal category theory [9, 10].

The treatment of delta lenses as *objects* in a category $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens}$ has also proved to be important. This approach is necessary for the characterisation of delta lenses as retrofunctors with additional *coalgebraic structure* [12], again linking with similar results characterising state-based lenses [26] and split opfibrations [24] as coalgebras for a comonad. Delta lenses were also characterised as algebras for a monad [14] on $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}^{\mathbf{2}}$, refining the previous result of Johnson and Rosebrugh, and reaffirming the view that they are functors with additional *algebraic structure*.

Ultimately, delta lenses should be considered as both objects and morphisms, an approach supported by studying the double category of categories, functors, and delta lenses [13]. Like split opfibrations [31], delta lenses are not just algebras for a monad, but the right class of an *algebraic weak factorisation system* [15], naturally inducing double category in which to study them [6].

There have been numerous variants of the Grothendieck construction introduced for different purposes [7, 16, 39, 40, 41, 42], and this paper contributes to the recent interest in double-categorical generalisations of this concept.

In this section, we recall the definitions of delta lens, discrete opfibration, and diagrammatic delta lens. Our main result is an equivalence $\mathcal{L}\text{ens} \simeq \mathcal{D}\text{iaLens}$ between the categories of delta lenses and diagrammatic delta lenses (Theorem 12). We recall the characterisation of split opfibrations as delta lenses, or diagrammatic delta lenses, with a certain property.

2.1. **Delta lenses and discrete opfibrations.** Recall that a *discrete opfibration* is a functor $f\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that, for each object $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and morphism $u\colon fa \to b$ in $\mathcal{B}$, there exists a unique morphism $w\colon a \to a'$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $fw = u$. Delta lenses are a generalisation of discrete opfibrations in which a functor is equipped with a functorial choice of lifts without the requirement that these lifts are unique.

Definition 1. A *delta lens* $(f, \varphi)\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a functor $f\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ equipped with a *lifting operation*

$$(a \in \mathcal{A}, u\colon fa \to b \in \mathcal{B}) \quad \longmapsto \quad \varphi(a, u)\colon a \to a' \in \mathcal{A}$$

such that the following axioms hold:

(DL1) $f\varphi(a, u) = u$;

(DL2) $\varphi(a, 1_{fa}) = 1_a$;

(DL3) $\varphi(a, v \circ u) = \varphi(a', v) \circ \varphi(a, u)$.

The first axiom (DL1) of a delta lens (f, φ) allows us to refer to the morphisms $\varphi(a, u)$ as *chosen lifts* with respect to the functor f. The second axiom (DL2) states that the lifting operation preserves identities, and the third axiom (DL3) states that it preserves composition. Using the axioms of a delta lens, one can prove the following simple result.

Lemma 2. *Given a delta lens* $(f, \varphi)\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$, *there is a wide subcategory* $\Lambda(f, \varphi)$ *of* $\mathcal{A}$ *whose morphisms are the chosen lifts. By definition, the subcategory inclusion* $\overline{\varphi}\colon \Lambda(f, \varphi) \to \mathcal{A}$ *is faithful and identity-on-objects. Furthermore, the composite functor* $f\,\overline{\varphi}\colon \Lambda(f, \varphi) \to \mathcal{B}$ *is a discrete opfibration.*

This lemma clarifies the exact relationship between delta lenses and discrete opfibrations. Crucially, Lemma 2 admits a converse which allows us to construct delta lenses from certain commutative triangles of functors. We first examine the special case of discrete opfibrations.

Example 3. Each discrete opfibration $f \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ admits a unique delta lens structure which we denote $(f, \Upsilon_f) \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$. The lifting operation Υ_f is well-defined by the uniqueness of lifts. Furthermore, since every morphism in the domain of a discrete opfibration is chosen lift, it follows that $\Lambda(f, \Upsilon_f) = \mathcal{A}$ and that $\overline{\Upsilon}_f = 1_{\mathcal{A}}$. Given a delta lens $(g, \psi) \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$, the underlying functor g is a discrete opfibration if $\psi(a, gw) = w$ holds for each morphism $w \colon a \to a'$ in $\mathcal{A}$; in this case, $\psi = \Upsilon_g$.

Proposition 4. *Given a commutative triangle of functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\;\;p\;\;} & \mathcal{A} \\ & {\scriptstyle fp} \searrow \quad \swarrow {\scriptstyle f} & \\ & \mathcal{B} & \end{array} \tag{2}$$

such that p is identity-on-objects and fp is a discrete opfibration, there is a delta lens $(f, \varphi) \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ and a unique isomorphism $j \colon \mathcal{X} \cong \Lambda(f, \varphi)$ such that $\overline{\varphi}j = p$.

Proof. Since $fp \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a discrete opfibration, it admits a unique delta lens structure $(fp, \Upsilon_{fp}) \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$ by Example 3. Define a delta lens $(f, \varphi) \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ whose lifting operation is given by $\varphi(a, u) = p\Upsilon_{fp}(a, u)$; this is well-defined by commutativity of (2) and functoriality of p. By Lemma 2, the category $\Lambda(f, \varphi)$ has the same objects as $\mathcal{A}$, thus the same objects as $\mathcal{X}$. Recalling that every morphism in the domain of the discrete opfibration fp is a chosen lift, there is an identity-on-objects functor $j \colon \mathcal{X} \to \Lambda(f, \varphi)$ which sends $\Upsilon_{fp}(a, u)$ to $\varphi(a, u)$. The functor j is clearly an isomorphism, since p is faithful by commutativity of (2), and the equation $\overline{\varphi}j = p$ is satisfied by construction. $\qquad \square$

Together Lemma 2 and Proposition 4 tell us that delta lenses are *the same as* certain commutative diagrams of functors. This idea forms the cornerstone of many results concerning delta lenses, and is extended to an equivalence of categories in the following subsection.

2.2. **The category of delta lenses.** Delta lenses may be considered as both objects and as morphisms, which suggests double categories are a suitable framework in which to study them [13]. In this paper, we focus on the category whose *objects* are delta lenses, which we now recall.

Definition 5. Let $\mathcal{L}$ens be the category whose objects are delta lenses, and whose morphisms $(h, k) \colon (f, \varphi) \to (g, \psi)$, as depicted below, consist of a pair of functors

such that $kf = gh$ and $h\varphi(a, u) = \psi(ha, ku)$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\ h\ } & \mathcal{C} \\ {\scriptstyle (f,\varphi)} \downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle (g,\psi)} \\ \mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow[\ k\ ]{} & \mathcal{D} \end{array} \qquad (3)$$

There is a faithful functor $\mathcal{L}ens \to \mathcal{C}at^{\mathbf{2}}$ that sends each delta lens to its underlying functor. Thus, a morphism of delta lenses may be understood as a commutative square of functors that preserves the chosen lifts. Since each delta lens determines a wide subcategory of chosen lifts (Lemma 2), we obtain the following result.

Lemma 6. *Given a morphism* (3) *of delta lenses, there exists a unique functor* $\Lambda(h, k)$ *such that the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda(f, \varphi) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda(h,k)} & \Lambda(g, \psi) \\ {\scriptstyle \overline{\varphi}} \downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \overline{\psi}} \\ \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow[\ h\ ]{} & \mathcal{B} \end{array} \qquad (4)$$

Proof. Commutativity required that $\Lambda(h, k)$ has an assignment on objects $a \mapsto ha$ and an assignment on morphisms $\varphi(a, u) \mapsto \psi(ha, ku)$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{D}Opf$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}at^{\mathbf{2}}$ whose objects are discrete opfibrations. We now demonstrate that the relationship between discrete opfibrations and delta lenses extends to an adjunction.

Proposition 7. *There is a coreflective adjunction*

$$\mathcal{D}Opf \underset{\Upsilon}{\overset{\Lambda}{\underset{\bot}{\leftrightarrows}}} \mathcal{L}ens$$

between the category of discrete opfibrations and the category of delta lenses.

Proof. The functor $\Upsilon \colon \mathcal{D}Opf \to \mathcal{L}ens$ sends each discrete opfibration f to its unique delta lens structure (f, Υ_f) by Example 3; it is clearly fully faithful. The functor $\Lambda \colon \mathcal{L}ens \to \mathcal{D}Opf$ sends each delta lens (f, φ) to the discrete opfibration $f\overline{\varphi}$ as described in Lemma 2 and Lemma 6.

Given a discrete opfibration $f \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$, the wide subcategory of chosen lifts is $\Lambda(f, \Upsilon_f) = \mathcal{A}$, since $\Upsilon_f(a, fw) = w$ for each morphism $w \colon a \to a'$ in $\mathcal{A}$. Therefore, the unit of the adjunction is the identity natural transformation. The counit

component of the adjunction at a delta lens $(f, \varphi) \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is given by the following morphism of delta lenses.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\Lambda(f, \varphi) & \xrightarrow{\ \overline{\varphi}\ } & \mathcal{A} \\
{\scriptstyle (f\overline{\varphi}, \Upsilon_{f\overline{\varphi}})} \downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle (f, \varphi)} \\
\mathcal{B} & =\!=\!=\!= & \mathcal{B}
\end{array}
$$

Naturality holds by Lemma 6, and the triangle identities are easily verified. □

Remark 8. The functor $\Lambda \colon \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \to \mathcal{D}\mathrm{Opf}$ admits a fully faithful right adjoint, which is constructed by factorising a discrete opfibration into an identity-on-objects functor followed by the fully faithful functor, the latter of which admits a canonical delta lens structure. The functor $\Upsilon \colon \mathcal{D}\mathrm{Opf} \to \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens}$ admits a left adjoint which is constructed by factorising the underlying functor of a delta lens into an initial functor followed by a discrete opfibration.

We want to construct an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens}$ and a category, whose objects are certain commutative diagrams of functors as in Proposition 4, which we now introduce. The corresponding double category was considered in previous work [15, Section 2].

Definition 9. A *diagrammatic delta lens* (f, p) is a composable pair of functors $f \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ and $p \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that p is identity-on-objects and $f \circ p$ is a discrete opfibration. Let $\mathcal{D}\mathrm{iaLens}$ be the category whose objects are diagrammatic delta lenses, and whose morphisms $(\ell, h, k) \colon (f, p) \to (g, q)$ are triples of functors such that the following diagram commutes.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\ \ell\ } & \mathcal{Y} \\
{\scriptstyle p} \downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle q} \\
\mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\ h\ } & \mathcal{C} \\
{\scriptstyle f} \downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle g} \\
\mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow[\ k\ ]{} & \mathcal{D}
\end{array}
\tag{5}
$$

with fp on the left and gq on the right.

Note that the functor $\ell \colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ in a morphism (5) of diagrammatic delta lenses is unique by the properties of identity-on-objects functors and discrete opfibrations. Therefore, there is a faithful functor $\mathcal{D}\mathrm{iaLens} \to \mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}^{\mathbf{2}}$ that sends each diagrammatic delta lens (f, p) to f.

Lemma 10. *There is a functor* $\hat{\Lambda} \colon \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \to \mathcal{D}\mathrm{iaLens}$ *that assigns a delta lens* (f, φ) *to the diagrammatic delta lens* $(f, \overline{\varphi})$.

Proof. The functor $\hat{\Lambda}$ is well-defined on objects by Lemma 2 and on morphisms by Lemma 6. Equivalently, we may invoke naturality of the counit of the adjunction $\Upsilon \dashv \Lambda$ in Proposition 7. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 11. *There is a functor* $\hat{\Upsilon} \colon \mathcal{D}\text{iaLens} \to \mathcal{L}\text{ens}$ *that assigns a diagrammatic delta lens* (f, p) *to the delta lens* $(f, p\Upsilon_{fp})$.

Proof. The functor $\hat{\Upsilon}$ is well-defined on objects by Proposition 4. Given a morphism (5) of diagrammatic delta lenses, there is a morphism $(h, k) \colon (f, p\Upsilon_{fp}) \to (g, q\Upsilon_{gq})$ of delta lenses, since $kf = gh$ and, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \colon fa \to b$ in $\mathcal{B}$, the equation $hp\Upsilon_{fp}(a, u) = q\ell\Upsilon_{fp}(a, u) = q\Upsilon_{gq}(ha, ku)$ holds by functoriality of Υ. $\qquad\square$

We are now ready to demonstrate that the category of delta lenses is equivalent to the category of diagrammatic delta lenses; one might call this a "representation theorem" for delta lenses. This equivalence has been used implicitly for years [9] however a detailed proof in the literature remained absent; we now fill this gap.

Theorem 12. *There is an equivalence of categories* $\mathcal{L}\text{ens} \simeq \mathcal{D}\text{iaLens}$.

Proof. Given functors $\hat{\Lambda} \colon \mathcal{L}\text{ens} \to \mathcal{D}\text{iaLens}$ and $\hat{\Upsilon} \colon \mathcal{D}\text{iaLens} \to \mathcal{L}\text{ens}$ defined in Lemma 10 and Lemma 11, respectively, we show that there are natural isomorphisms $\hat{\Upsilon} \circ \hat{\Lambda} \cong 1$ and $\hat{\Lambda} \circ \hat{\Upsilon} \cong 1$. We construct the components of these isomorphisms, omitting the straightforward proof of naturality.

Applying the functor $\hat{\Upsilon} \circ \hat{\Lambda}$ to a delta lens $(f, \varphi) \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ we obtain a delta lens $(f, \overline{\varphi}\,\Upsilon_{f\overline{\varphi}}) \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$. Since $\Upsilon_{f\overline{\varphi}}(a, u) = \varphi(a, u)$ by construction, and $\overline{\varphi}$ is a wide subcategory inclusion, it follows that $\overline{\varphi}\,\Upsilon_{f\overline{\varphi}}(a, u) = \varphi(a, u)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $u \colon fa \to b$ in $\mathcal{B}$. Therefore, we have an equality of functors $\hat{\Upsilon} \circ \hat{\Lambda} = 1$.

Applying the functor $\hat{\Lambda} \circ \hat{\Upsilon}$ to a diagrammatic delta lens (f, p) we obtain a diagrammatic delta lens $(f, \overline{\varphi})$ where $\varphi = \overline{p\Upsilon}_{fp}$. To show that $1 \cong \hat{\Lambda} \circ \hat{\Upsilon}$, we need an isomorphism $j \colon \mathcal{X} \cong \Lambda(f, \varphi)$ such that $\overline{\varphi}j = p$. However, this follows immediately from Proposition 4, completing the proof. $\qquad\square$

2.3. **Split opfibrations as delta lenses.** Discrete opfibrations are a special case of a more general notion called a split opfibration. Although split opfibrations are typically defined as opfibrations equipped with certain *additional structure*, we instead define them as delta lenses satisfying a certain *property*.

Example 13. A *split opfibration* is a delta lens $(f, \varphi) \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that each chosen lift $\varphi(a, u)$ is *opcartesian*. This means that for each morphism $w \colon a \to a''$

in $\mathcal{A}$ and composable pair of morphisms in $\mathcal{B}$ such that $fw = v \circ u$, there exists a unique morphism $w' \colon a' \to a''$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $w' \circ \varphi(a,u) = w$ and $fw' = v$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \overset{w}{\overbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad}} \\
\mathcal{A} & & a \xrightarrow{\;\varphi(a,u)\;} a' \dashrightarrow[\exists! \, w']{} a'' \\
(f,\varphi){\Big\downarrow} & & \vdots \qquad\quad \vdots \qquad\quad \vdots \\
\mathcal{B} & & fa \xrightarrow{\;u\;} b \xrightarrow{\;v\;} fa'' \\
 & & \underset{fw}{\underbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad}}
\end{array}
$$

Equivalently, a split opfibration is a delta lens such that each chosen lift is *weakly opcartesian*. This means that for each morphism $w \colon a \to a''$ in $\mathcal{A}$, there exists a unique morphism $\tilde{w} \colon a' \to a''$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\tilde{w} \circ \varphi(a,u) = w$ and $f\hat{w} = 1$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & \qquad\qquad a' \\
 & & \varphi(a,u) \nearrow \;\; {\Big\downarrow}{\scriptstyle \exists! \, \tilde{w}} \\
\mathcal{A} & & a \xrightarrow{\;w\;} a'' \\
(f,\varphi){\Big\downarrow} & & \vdots \qquad\quad \vdots \\
\mathcal{B} & & fa \xrightarrow{\;fw\;} fa''
\end{array}
$$

Since chosen lifts are closed under composition by axiom (DL3), it is straightforward to show that these characterisations of a split opfibration in terms of opcartesian and weakly opcartesian lifts are equivalent.

Let $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Opf}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{L}$ens whose objects are split opfibrations. It is natural to wonder if we can characterise the essential image of the subcategory inclusion $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Opf} \to \mathcal{L}$ens followed by the equivalence $\mathcal{L}$ens $\simeq \mathcal{D}$iaLens.

Recall that there is an endofunctor $\mathrm{Dec} \colon \mathcal{C}$at $\to \mathcal{C}$at, which sends each category $\mathcal{A}$ to the coproduct $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}/a$ of its slice categories, called the *décalage* of $\mathcal{A}$. This endofunctor is copointed, and the component of $\varepsilon \colon \mathrm{Dec} \Rightarrow 1$ at a category $\mathcal{A}$ is the projection dom $\colon \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}/a \to \mathcal{A}$ which sends each morphism to its domain.

Proposition 14 ([10, Theorem 4.7]). *A diagrammatic delta lens (f, p) corresponds to a split opfibration under the equivalence $\mathcal{D}$iaLens $\simeq \mathcal{L}$ens if and only if the functor $\mathrm{Dec}(f) \circ \pi_2$ is a discrete opfibration.*

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{A}} \mathrm{Dec}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\;\pi_2\;} & \mathrm{Dec}(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\;\mathrm{Dec}(f)\;} & \mathrm{Dec}(\mathcal{B}) \\
\pi_1{\Big\downarrow} \quad {\scriptstyle \lrcorner} & & {\Big\downarrow}{\scriptstyle \varepsilon_{\mathcal{A}}} & & {\Big\downarrow}{\scriptstyle \varepsilon_{\mathcal{B}}} \\
\mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\;p\;} & \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\;f\;} & \mathcal{B}
\end{array}
$$

3. The double category of split multivalued functions

In this section, we introduce the double category $\mathbb{SMult}$ of sets, functions, and split multivalued functions. We also introduce the notion of *globular transformation* between double functors, akin to an icon of 2-functors. Our main results are that the $\mathbb{SMult}$ admits a 1-dimensional (Theorem 21) and 2-dimensional (Theorem 22) universal property as the "terminal" globular cone over $K_*\colon \mathbb{Sq}(\mathcal{Set}) \to \mathbb{Span}$. We obtain an isomorphism $\mathcal{Jdx}(\mathcal{Cat}, \mathbb{SMult}) \cong \mathcal{GlobCone}(\mathcal{Cat}, K_*)$ of categories whose objects are lax double functors $\mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{SMult}$ and globular cones over K_*, respectively (Corollary 28).

We assume familiarity with basic double category theory [27]. To establish our conventions, a double category consists of four kinds of things: *objects*, *tight morphisms* $A \to B$ (drawn vertically), *loose morphisms* $A \nrightarrow B$ (drawn horizontally), and *cells*. We have adopted the terminology of *tight* and *loose* from $\mathcal{F}$-categories [38]. Composition in the tight direction is strict, while composition in the loose direction is associative and unital up to specified isomorphism. A double category is called *strict* if composition in both the tight and loose directions is strict.

3.1. Split multivalued functions.

Definition 15. A *multivalued function* $A \nrightarrow B$ is a span of functions

$$A \xleftarrow{\ \ s\ \ } X \xrightarrow{\ \ t\ \ } B \tag{6}$$

such that s is an epimorphism. A *split multivalued function* $A \nrightarrow B$ is a diagram of functions

$$A \xrightarrow[\xleftarrow{\ \ s\ \ }]{\ \ \sigma\ \ } X \xrightarrow{\ \ t\ \ } B \tag{7}$$

such that $s \circ \sigma = 1_A$.

Given a multivalued function (6), the fibre $s^{-1}\{a\}$ of the function $s\colon X \to A$ at each element $a \in A$ is a non-empty subset of X, and the restriction of the function $t\colon X \to B$ to this subset determines the "multiple values" of the element $a \in A$. For a split multivalued function (7), each fibre of $s\colon X \to A$ is equipped with a chosen element $\sigma(a) \in s^{-1}\{a\}$, which is furthermore sent to an element $t(\sigma(a)) \in B$.

There are various restrictions we could place of the definition of (split) multivalued function, such as working with isomorphism classes of spans, asking that the epimorphism leg have finite fibres, or requiring underlying span to be jointly monic. Our particular choice of definition provides the required level of generality to prove our desired results about delta lenses.

Definition 16. The *double category of split multivalued functions*, denoted $\mathbb{S}\text{Mult}$, consists of the following data.

(a) Objects are sets.

(b) Tight morphisms $f\colon A \to B$ are functions.

(c) Loose morphisms $(s, X, t, \sigma)\colon A \nrightarrow B$ are split multivalued functions (7).

(d) Cells correspond to diagrams of functions, as depicted below, such that the equations $q_2 \circ \alpha = g \circ p_2$, $q_1 \circ \alpha = f \circ p_1$, and $\alpha \circ \varphi = \psi \circ f$ hold.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \xrightarrow{\;(p_1, X, p_2, \varphi)\;} B & & A \xrightarrow{\varphi} X \xrightarrow{p_2} B \\
{\scriptstyle f}\big\downarrow \quad \alpha \quad \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \;\; := \;\; & & {\scriptstyle f}\big\downarrow \;\;\; {\scriptstyle\psi}\;\; {\scriptstyle\alpha}\big\downarrow \quad \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\
C \xrightarrow[\;(q_1, Y, q_2, \psi)\;]{} D & & C \xrightarrow{\;\;} Y \xrightarrow{q_2} D
\end{array}
\tag{8}
$$

(e) Composition of tight morphisms is composition of functions, and identity tight morphisms are identity functions.

(f) Given loose morphisms $(p_1, X, p_2, \varphi)\colon A \nrightarrow B$ and $(q_1, Y, q_2, \psi)\colon B \nrightarrow C$, their composite is given by the following diagram of functions, where Z is the pullback of p_2 and q_1 with corresponding projections π_X and π_Y, and $\langle 1_X, \psi \circ p_2 \rangle \colon X \to Z$ is the uniquely induced morphism into the pullback.

The identity loose morphism on a set A is given by $(1_A, A, 1_A, 1_A)\colon A \nrightarrow A$.

(g) The identity cells on a tight morphism $f\colon A \to B$ and a loose morphism $(s, X, t, \sigma)\colon A \nrightarrow B$ are given by the following diagrams, respectively.

(h) The composite of cells in the tight direction is given by the following.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \xrightarrow{\sigma,\,s} X \xrightarrow{t} B \\
f\downarrow \quad \alpha\downarrow \quad g\downarrow \\
A' \xrightarrow{\sigma',\,s'} X' \xrightarrow{t'} B' \\
h\downarrow \quad \beta\downarrow \quad k\downarrow \\
A'' \xrightarrow{\sigma'',\,s''} X'' \xrightarrow{t''} B''
\end{array}
\quad = \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \xrightarrow{\sigma,\,s} X \xrightarrow{t} B \\
hf\downarrow \quad \beta\alpha\downarrow \quad kg\downarrow \\
A'' \xrightarrow{\sigma'',\,s''} X'' \xrightarrow{t''} B''
\end{array}
$$

(i) The composite of cells in the loose direction is given by the following diagram where some labels have been omitted, and the function $\alpha \times \beta$ is the uniquely induced morphism into the pullback of p'_2 and q'_1 determined by the equation $p'_2 \circ \alpha \circ \pi_X = q'_1 \circ \beta \circ \pi_Y$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
A \xrightarrow{\varphi,\,p_1} X \xrightarrow{p_2} B \xrightarrow{\psi,\,q_1} Y \xrightarrow{q_2} C \\
f\downarrow \ \alpha\downarrow \quad g\downarrow \ \beta\downarrow \quad h\downarrow \\
A' \xrightarrow{\varphi',\,p'_1} X' \xrightarrow{p'_2} B' \xrightarrow{\psi',\,q'_1} Y' \xrightarrow{q'_2} C'
\end{array}
\quad = \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \xrightarrow{\ ,\,p_1\pi_X} X \times_B Y \xrightarrow{q_2\pi_Y} C \\
f\downarrow \quad \alpha\times\beta\downarrow \quad h\downarrow \\
A' \xrightarrow{\ ,\,p'_1\pi_{X'}} X' \times_{B'} Y' \xrightarrow{q'_2\pi_{Y'}} C'
\end{array}
$$

(j) If we choose pullbacks in $\mathbb{S}$et such that the pullback of the identity function is an identity function, then composition of loose morphisms is strictly unital. The associator isomorphisms are determined by the natural isomorphisms $(X \times_B Y) \times_C Z \cong X \times_B (Y \times_C Z)$ of pullbacks, however we omit the details.

While we have stated the definition of $\mathbb{S}$Mult explicitly, it is also natural to ask if this double category admits a characterisation via some universal property. The rest of this section is dedicated to providing such a characterisation. We begin by recalling two important double categories which are closely related to $\mathbb{S}$Mult.

Example 17. The *double category of spans*, denoted $\mathbb{S}$pan, is the double category whose objects are sets, whose tight morphisms are functions, whose loose morphisms are spans, and whose cells correspond to diagrams of functions, as depicted below, such that $q_1 \circ \alpha = f \circ p_1$ and $q_2 \circ \alpha = g \circ p_2$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \xrightarrow{(p_1,X,p_2)} B \\
f\downarrow \quad \alpha \quad g\downarrow \\
C \xrightarrow{(q_1,Y,q_2)} D
\end{array}
\quad := \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
A \xleftarrow{p_1} X \xrightarrow{p_2} B \\
f\downarrow \quad \alpha\downarrow \quad g\downarrow \\
C \xleftarrow{q_1} Y \xrightarrow{q_2} D
\end{array}
$$

15

There is a strict double functor $U_2 \colon \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult} \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}$ which is determined by the following assignment on cells.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{ccccc}
A & \xrightleftharpoons[p_1]{\varphi} & X & \xrightarrow{p_2} & B \\
{\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\alpha} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\
C & \xrightleftharpoons[q_1]{\psi} & Y & \xrightarrow{q_2} & D
\end{array}
& \longmapsto &
\begin{array}{ccccc}
A & \xleftarrow{p_1} & X & \xrightarrow{p_2} & B \\
{\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\alpha} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\
C & \xleftarrow{q_1} & Y & \xrightarrow{q_2} & D
\end{array}
\end{array}
\tag{9}
$$

Example 18. The *double category of squares in* $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{et}$, denoted $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathbb{S}\mathrm{et})$, is the double category whose objects are sets, whose tight and loose morphisms are both functions, and whose cells correspond to commuting squares of functions; this is a strict double category. There is a strict double functor $U_1 \colon \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult} \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathbb{S}\mathrm{et})$ which is determined by the following assignment on cells.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{ccccc}
A & \xrightleftharpoons[p_1]{\varphi} & X & \xrightarrow{p_2} & B \\
{\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\alpha} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\
C & \xrightleftharpoons[q_1]{\psi} & Y & \xrightarrow{q_2} & D
\end{array}
& \longmapsto &
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{p_2\circ\varphi} & B \\
{\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\
C & \xrightarrow[q_2\circ\psi]{} & D
\end{array}
\end{array}
\tag{10}
$$

The double categories $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathbb{S}\mathrm{et})$ related by a strict double functor $K_* \colon \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathbb{S}\mathrm{et}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}$ with the following assignment on cells.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{h} & B \\
{\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\
C & \xrightarrow[k]{} & D
\end{array}
& \longmapsto &
\begin{array}{ccccc}
A & \xleftarrow{1_A} & A & \xrightarrow{h} & B \\
{\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\
C & \xleftarrow[1_C]{} & C & \xrightarrow[k]{} & D
\end{array}
\end{array}
\tag{11}
$$

The notation K_* for this double functor is to remind the reader that it provides a choice of *companions* [19, 29] for the double category $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}$. To state the precise relationship between the double functors (9), (10), and (11), we must introduce a new definition.

3.2. **Globular transformations.** Recall that a (tight) transformation $\tau \colon F \Rightarrow G$ between double functors $F, G \colon \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{X}$ provides, for each object $A \in \mathbb{A}$, a tight morphism $\tau_A \colon FA \to GA$ in $\mathbb{X}$ and, for each loose morphism $p \colon A \nrightarrow B$ in $\mathbb{A}$, a cell τ_p in $\mathbb{X}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
FA & \xrightarrow{Fp} & FB \\
{\scriptstyle \tau_A}\downarrow & {\scriptstyle \tau_p} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_B} \\
GA & \xrightarrow[Gp]{} & GB
\end{array}
$$

16

We wish to consider transformations with a certain property which is analogous to that of an *icon* between functors of bicategories [37].

Definition 19. Given (lax) double functors $F, G \colon \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{X}$, a (tight) transformation $\tau \colon F \Rightarrow G$ is called *globular* if the tight morphism $\tau_A \colon FA \to GA$ is an identity for each object $A \in \mathbb{A}$,

A globular transformation $\tau \colon F \Rightarrow G$ implies that F and G have the same assignment on objects, and by naturality, the same assignment on tight morphisms. The term *globular* is chosen as the components of τ at a loose morphism are globular cells in the double category. Globular transformations are closed under composition and whiskering.

Lemma 20. *There is a globular transformation between double functors as follows.*

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
& \mathbb{SMult} & \\
{\scriptstyle U_1} \swarrow & \underset{\sigma}{\Longrightarrow} & \searrow {\scriptstyle U_2} \\
\mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Set}) & \xrightarrow[K_*]{} & \mathbb{Span}
\end{array}
\tag{12}
$$

Proof. We define the globular transformation $\sigma \colon K_* U_1 \Rightarrow U_2$ as follows. For each object A in $\mathbb{SMult}$, we have the identity function $1_A \colon A \to A$ in $\mathbb{Span}$, and for each loose morphism (7) in $\mathbb{SMult}$, we have the following globular cell in $\mathbb{Span}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
A & \xleftarrow{1_A} & A & \xrightarrow{t\sigma} & B \\
{\scriptstyle 1_A} \downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \sigma} & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle 1_B} \\
A & \xleftarrow[s]{} & X & \xrightarrow[t]{} & B
\end{array}
$$

It is straightforward to verify that $\sigma \colon K_* U_1 \Rightarrow U_2$ is natural with respect to the cells in $\mathbb{SMult}$. $\square$

3.3. **The universal property of the double category of split multivalued functions.** We now show that the globular transformation in Lemma 20 may be understood as universal globular cone over the double functor $K_* \colon \mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Set}) \to \mathbb{Span}$, and characterises the double category $\mathbb{SMult}$ as a certain kind of limit.

Theorem 21 (1-dimensional universal property of $\mathbb{SMult}$)**.** *Given lax double functors $F_1 \colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Set})$ and $F_2 \colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{Span}$ and a globular transformation*

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
& \mathbb{B} & \\
{\scriptstyle F_1} \swarrow & \underset{\varphi}{\Longrightarrow} & \searrow {\scriptstyle F_2} \\
\mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Set}) & \xrightarrow[K_*]{} & \mathbb{Span}
\end{array}
$$

there exists a unique lax double functor $F\colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ such that $\sigma \cdot F = \varphi$, where $\sigma\colon K_*U_1 \Rightarrow U_2$ is defined in Lemma 20.

Proof. Since φ is a globular transformation, F_1 and F_2 agree on objects and tight morphisms; we denote this shared action by F. Given a loose morphism $u\colon A \nrightarrow B$ in $\mathbb{B}$, we denote the component of φ at u by the following cell in $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
FA & \xrightarrow{F_1 u} & FB \\
\| \quad {\scriptstyle \varphi u} & & \| \\
FA & \xrightarrow[F_2 u]{} & FB
\end{array}
\quad :=\quad
\begin{array}{ccccc}
FA & = & FA & \xrightarrow{t_u \varphi_u} & FB \\
\| & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \varphi_u} & & \| \\
FA & \xleftarrow{s_u} & F(u) & \xrightarrow{t_u} & FB
\end{array}
$$

This defines a split multivalued function $(s_u, F(u), t_u, \varphi_u)\colon FA \nrightarrow FB$ for each loose morphism $u\colon A \nrightarrow B$. Given a cell

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\
{\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & {\scriptstyle \alpha} & \downarrow {\scriptstyle g} \\
C & \xrightarrow[v]{} & D
\end{array}
$$

in $\mathbb{B}$, the action of the lax double functor F_2 provides a function $F_2\alpha\colon F(u) \to F(v)$ such that $s_v \circ F_2\alpha = Ff \circ s_u$ and $t_v \circ F_2\alpha = Fg \circ t_u$. Furthermore, naturality of φ implies that the equation $F_2\alpha \circ \varphi_u = \varphi_v \circ Ff$ holds. Thus, for each cell α, as above, we have the following cell in $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ (where we write $F_2\alpha$ as $F\alpha$ for simplicity).

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
FA & \xrightarrow{\varphi_u}_{\xLeftarrow{s_u}} & F(u) & \xrightarrow{t_u} & FB \\
{\scriptstyle Ff}\downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle F\alpha} & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle Fg} \\
FC & \xrightarrow{\varphi_u}_{\xLeftarrow{s_v}} & F(v) & \xrightarrow{t_v} & FD
\end{array}
$$

This completes the specification of the (nominal) lax double functor $F\colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ on objects, tight morphisms, loose morphisms, and cells. It remains to define the unit and composition comparison cells which provide the lax structure.

We first note that since $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathbb{S}\mathrm{et})$ is a thin double category, $F_1\colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathbb{S}\mathrm{et})$ is necessarily a strict double functor. For each object A and each composable pair of loose morphisms $u\colon A \nrightarrow B$ and $v\colon B \nrightarrow C$ in $\mathbb{B}$, the unit η and composition μ

comparison cells for the lax double functor F_2 are given by the following diagrams.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
FA & \xleftarrow{1_{FA}} & FA & \xrightarrow{1_{FA}} & FA \\
\| & & \downarrow{\eta_A} & & \| \\
FA & \xleftarrow[s_A]{} & F(\mathrm{id}_A) & \xrightarrow[t_A]{} & FA
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccccc}
FA & \xleftarrow{s_u \pi_u} & F(u,v) & \xrightarrow{t_v \pi_v} & FC \\
\| & & \downarrow{\mu_{(u,v)}} & & \| \\
FA & \xleftarrow[s_{u \circ v}]{} & F(v \circ u) & \xrightarrow[t_{u \circ v}]{} & FC
\end{array}
$$

We adopt the notation $s_A := s_{\mathrm{id}_A}$ and $t_A := t_{\mathrm{id}_A}$ for ease of readability, and let $F(u,v)$ denote the pullback of $t_u \colon F(u) \to FB$ along $s_v \colon F(v) \to FB$; the corresponding projections π_u and π_v, respectively. The coherence of the transformation φ with the comparison cells implies that $\eta_A = \varphi_{\mathrm{id}_A}$ and $\varphi_{v \circ u} = \mu_{(u,v)} \circ \langle 1, \varphi_v \circ t_u \rangle \circ \varphi_u$ where $\langle 1, \varphi_v \circ t_u \rangle \colon F(u) \to F(u,v)$ is the uniquely induced morphism into the pullback.

We define the unit and composition comparison cells for the lax double functor $F \colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{SMult}$ as follows. For each object A and composable pair of loose morphisms $u \colon A \nrightarrow B$ and $v \colon B \nrightarrow C$ in $\mathbb{B}$, we have the following cells in $\mathbb{SMult}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
FA & \overset{1_{FA}}{\underset{1_{FA}}{\rightrightarrows}} & FA & \xrightarrow{1_{FA}} & FA \\
\| \quad \Downarrow{\varphi_A} & & \downarrow{\eta_A} & & \| \\
FA & \underset{s_A}{\overset{}{\rightrightarrows}} & F(\mathrm{id}_A) & \xrightarrow[t_A]{} & FA
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccccc}
FA & \overset{\langle 1, \varphi_v t_u \rangle \circ \varphi_u}{\underset{s_u \pi_u}{\rightrightarrows}} & F(u,v) & \xrightarrow{t_v \pi_v} & FC \\
\| \quad \Downarrow{\varphi_{v \circ u}} & & \downarrow{\mu_{u,v}} & & \| \\
FA & \underset{s_{u \circ v}}{\overset{}{\rightrightarrows}} & F(v \circ u) & \xrightarrow[t_{u \circ v}]{} & FC
\end{array}
$$

This completes the description of the data required to define the lax double functor $F \colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{SMult}$. It is straightforward to show that this lax double functor is well-defined, and that the conditions $U_1 F = F_1$, $U_2 F = F_2$ and $\sigma \cdot F = \varphi$ hold. Proof of uniqueness is similarly straightforward, however we omit the details. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 22 (2-dimensional universal property of $\mathbb{SMult}$). *Given a double functor $J \colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{D}$, lax double functors F_1, F_2, G_1, and G_2, transformations θ_1 and θ_2, and globular transformations φ and ψ such that*

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{B} \xrightarrow{J} \mathbb{D} \\
\llap{F_1}\searrow \; \overset{\theta_1}{\Longrightarrow} \; \underset{G_1}{\swarrow} \quad \overset{\psi}{\Longrightarrow} \quad \overset{G_2}{\searrow} \\
\mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Set}) \xrightarrow[K_*]{} \mathbb{Span}
\end{array}
\quad = \quad
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{B} \xrightarrow{J} \mathbb{D} \\
\llap{F_1}\swarrow \; \overset{\varphi}{\Longrightarrow} \; \llap{F_2}\searrow \; \overset{\theta_2}{\Longrightarrow} \; \underset{G_2}{\swarrow} \\
\mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Set}) \xrightarrow[K_*]{} \mathbb{Span}
\end{array}
$$

there exists a unique transformation between lax double functors

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{B} \xrightarrow{J} \mathbb{D} \\
\llap{F}\searrow \; \overset{\theta}{\Longrightarrow} \; \underset{G}{\swarrow} \\
\mathbb{SMult}
\end{array}
$$

such that $U_1 \cdot \theta = \theta_1$, $U_2 \cdot \theta = \theta_2$, $\sigma \cdot F = \varphi$ and $\sigma \cdot G = \psi$, where $\sigma \colon K_* U_1 \Rightarrow U_2$ is defined in Lemma 20.

Proof. By Theorem 21, there exists unique lax double functors $F \colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ and $G \colon \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ such that $\sigma \cdot F = \varphi$ and $\sigma \cdot G = \psi$.

For each loose morphism $u \colon A \nrightarrow B$ in $\mathbb{B}$, the transformation θ_2 provides a function $\theta_2 u \colon F(u) \to GJ(u)$ such that $s_{Ju} \circ \theta_2 u = \theta_A \circ s_u$ and $t_{Ju} \circ \theta_2 u = \theta_B \circ t_u$. We abuse notation by using s and t for both $F_2 u$ and $G_2 u$. By the main assumption, we also have that $\psi_{Ju} \circ \theta_A = \theta_2 u \circ \varphi_u$. Therefore, we may define a transformation $\theta \colon F \Rightarrow GJ$ whose component at a loose morphism $u \colon A \nrightarrow B$ in $\mathbb{B}$ is given by the following cell in $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ (where we write θu instead of $\theta_2 u$ for simplicity).

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
FA & \xrightarrow{\;\;\varphi_u\;\;}_{\;\;s_u\;\;} & F(u) & \xrightarrow{\;\;t_u\;\;} & FB \\
\theta_A \downarrow & & \theta u \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_B \\
GJA & \xrightarrow{\;\;\psi_{Ju}\;\;}_{\;\;s_{Ju}\;\;} & GJ(u) & \xrightarrow[t_{Ju}]{} & GJB
\end{array}
$$

Naturality and coherence with the unit and composition comparison cells for θ is inherited from the transformations θ_1 and θ_2. Similarly, it is straightforward to show that whiskering θ with $U_1 \colon \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult} \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathbb{S}\mathrm{et})$ and $U_2 \colon \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult} \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}$ yields θ_1 and θ_2, respectively. Proof of uniqueness is also easy, and we omit the details. $\square$

Theorem 21 and Theorem 22 completely characterise the double category of split multivalued functions up to isomorphism. The universal properties presented are almost identical to those of a *comma double category* [28], except that we restrict to a cone which involves a globular transformation. An analogous construction of this certain kind of limit is possible by replacing $K_* \colon \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathbb{S}\mathrm{et}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}$ with any (colax) double functor, however this level of generality is outside the scope of this paper.

3.4. **Indexed split multivalued functions.** We now use the universal properties of the double category $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ to establish an isomorphism of categories. We then restrict this along the inclusion $\mathbb{L}\mathrm{o} \colon \mathcal{C}\mathrm{at} \to \mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}$ to obtain the isomorphism required for our main result.

Definition 23. Let $\mathcal{G}\mathrm{lobCone}(\mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}, K_*)$ denote the category whose objects are quadruples $(\mathbb{B}, F_1, F_2, \varphi)$ consisting of a double category $\mathbb{B}$, lax double functors $F_1 \colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathbb{S}\mathrm{et})$ and $F_2 \colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}$, and a globular transformation $\varphi \colon K_* F_1 \Rightarrow F_2$, and whose morphisms $(J, \theta_1, \theta_2) \colon (\mathbb{B}, F_1, F_2, \varphi) \to (\mathbb{D}, G_1, G_2, \psi)$ consist of a double

functor $J\colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{D}$ and tight transformations $\theta_1\colon F_1 \Rightarrow G_1 J$ and $\theta_2\colon F_2 \Rightarrow G_2 J$ such that the following equation holds.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{B} \xrightarrow{\ \ J\ \ } \mathbb{D} \\
F_1 \searrow \quad \theta_1 \quad G_1 \ \psi \ G_2 \\
\mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Set}) \xrightarrow[K_*]{} \mathbb{Span}
\end{array}
& = &
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{B} \xrightarrow{\ \ J\ \ } \mathbb{D} \\
F_1 \ \varphi \ F_2 \quad \theta_2 \quad \swarrow G_2 \\
\mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Set}) \xrightarrow[K_*]{} \mathbb{Span}
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

The notation for $\mathcal{G}\mathrm{lobCone}(\mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}, K_*)$ is chosen to remind us that the objects are globular cones over K_*, and that there is a fibration $\mathcal{G}\mathrm{lobCone}(\mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}, K_*) \to \mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}$ to the category of double categories and double functors.

Definition 24. Let $\mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}, \mathbb{SMult})$ denote the category whose objects are pairs $(\mathbb{B}, F)$ consisting of a double category $\mathbb{B}$ and a lax double functor $F\colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{SMult}$, and whose morphisms $(J, \theta)\colon (\mathbb{B}, F) \to (\mathbb{D}, G)$ consist of a double functor $J\colon \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{D}$ and a tight transformation $\theta\colon F \Rightarrow GJ$.

The notation $\mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}, \mathbb{SMult})$ is chosen to remind us that the objects are split multivalued functions "indexed" by a double category. There is also a canonical fibration $\mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}, \mathbb{SMult}) \to \mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}$.

Corollary 25. *There is an isomorphism* $\mathcal{G}\mathrm{lobCone}(\mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}, K_*) \cong \mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}, \mathbb{SMult})$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the universal properties of $\mathbb{SMult}$ exhibited in Theorem 21 and Theorem 22. $\qquad\square$

Recall that there is a fully faithful functor $\mathbb{Lo}\colon \mathcal{C}\mathrm{at} \to \mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}$ which constructs a strict double category $\mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{B})$ whose objects and loose morphisms are the objects and morphisms of the category $\mathcal{B}$, and whose tight morphisms and cells are identities. We formally define the following useful terminology introduced in Subsection 1.1.

Definition 26. A lax double functor $\mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{SMult}$ is called an *indexed split multivalued function.*

Remark 27. We may take the pullback of the fibration $\mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}, \mathbb{SMult}) \to \mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}$ along the functor $\mathbb{Lo}\colon \mathcal{C}\mathrm{at} \to \mathcal{D}\mathrm{bl}$ to obtain the category of indexed split multivalued functions which we denote $\mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{SMult})$. Explicitly, the objects are pairs $(\mathcal{B}, F)$ of a category $\mathcal{B}$ and a lax double functor $F\colon \mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{SMult}$, while the morphisms $(k, \theta)\colon (\mathcal{B}, F) \to (\mathcal{D}, G)$ consist of a functor $k\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}$ and a tight transformation $\theta\colon F \Rightarrow G \circ \mathbb{Lo}(k)$. The category $\mathcal{G}\mathrm{lobCone}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, K_*)$ may be defined by an analogous pullback.

The following corollary is an important component for proving our main theorem.

Corollary 28. *There is an isomorphism* $\mathcal{G}\mathrm{lobCone}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, K_*) \cong \mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult})$.

4. THE CATEGORY OF ELEMENTS CONSTRUCTION FOR DELTA LENSES

In this section, we first establish an equivalence $\mathcal{D}\mathrm{iaLens} \simeq \mathcal{G}\mathrm{lobCone}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, K_*)$ between the categories of diagrammatic delta lenses and globular cones over K_*. We then prove our main result: an equivalence $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \simeq \mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult})$ between the categories of delta lenses and indexed split multivalued functions.

4.1. **A double-categorical approach to the category of elements.** We begin by extending the category $\mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult})$ to one whose objects are lax double functors valued in an arbitrary double category. We then use this setting to provide a double-categorical perspective on the indexed presentations of discrete opfibrations and functors.

Definition 29. Let $\mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{X})$ be the category whose objects are pairs $(\mathcal{B}, F)$ of a (small) category $\mathcal{B}$ and a lax double functor $F \colon \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{X}$, and whose morphisms $(k, \theta) \colon (\mathcal{B}, F) \to (\mathcal{D}, G)$ consist of a functor $k \colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}$ and a tight transformation of double functors as depicted below.

$$\mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(k)} \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{D})$$
$$F \searrow \quad \overset{\theta}{\Longrightarrow} \quad \swarrow G$$
$$\mathbb{X}$$

Using this definition, we can restate the classical category of elements construction for $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{et}$-valued functors in terms of double categories. Recall that $\mathcal{D}\mathrm{Opf}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}^{\mathbf{2}}$ whose objects are discrete opfibrations.

Proposition 30. *There is an equivalence of categories* $\mathcal{D}\mathrm{Opf} \simeq \mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{et}))$.

Proof. Given a category $\mathcal{B}$, a lax functor $\mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{et})$ is necessarily strict, and moreover equivalent to a functor $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{S}\mathrm{et}$. A morphism in $\mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{et}))$ is equivalent to a functor $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{D}$ and a natural transformation as shown below.

$$\mathcal{B} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$$
$$\searrow \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \swarrow \tag{13}$$
$$\mathcal{S}\mathrm{et}$$

By the classical category of elements construction, functors $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{S}\text{et}$ are the same as discrete opfibrations into $\mathcal{B}$, and natural transformations (13) are the same as morphisms in $\mathcal{D}\text{Opf}$. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

A generalisation of the classical category of elements construction provides an equivalence between lax double functors $\mathbb{L}\text{o}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\text{pan}$ and ordinary functors into $\mathcal{B}$, as shown by Paré [43, Example 3.13] and Pavlović-Abramsky [45, Proposition 4]. We restate this result without the requirement of a fixed base category.

Proposition 31. *There is an equivalence of categories* $\mathcal{C}\text{at}^{\mathbf{2}} \simeq \mathcal{I}\text{dx}(\mathcal{C}\text{at}, \mathbb{S}\text{pan})$.

Given a lax double functor $F \colon \mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{Y}$, there is an induced functor

$$F \colon \mathcal{I}\text{dx}(\mathcal{C}\text{at}, \mathbb{X}) \to \mathcal{I}\text{dx}(\mathcal{C}\text{at}, \mathbb{Y})$$

given by post-composition (also denoted F by abuse of notation). If we consider the strict double functor $K_* \colon \mathbb{S}\text{q}(\mathcal{S}\text{et}) \to \mathbb{S}\text{pan}$, defined in (11), this induces a fully faithful functor $K_* \colon \mathcal{I}\text{dx}(\mathcal{C}\text{at}, \mathbb{S}\text{q}(\mathcal{S}\text{et})) \to \mathcal{I}\text{dx}(\mathcal{C}\text{at}, \mathbb{S}\text{pan})$ which "commutes" with the category of elements construction in Proposition 30 and Proposition 31.

To be precise, let $\mathcal{E}\ell \colon \mathcal{I}\text{dx}(\mathcal{C}\text{at}, \mathbb{S}\text{q}(\mathcal{S}\text{et})) \to \mathcal{D}\text{Opf}$ and $\mathcal{E}\ell \colon \mathcal{I}\text{dx}(\mathcal{C}\text{at}, \mathbb{S}\text{pan}) \to \mathcal{C}\text{at}^{\mathbf{2}}$ denote the previous equivalences. Given a morphism $(k, \theta) \colon (\mathcal{B}, F) \to (\mathcal{D}, G)$ in $\mathcal{I}\text{dx}(\mathcal{C}\text{at}, \mathbb{S}\text{pan})$, its image of under functor $\mathcal{E}\ell$ is the following morphism in $\mathcal{C}\text{at}^{\mathbf{2}}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{E}\ell(\mathcal{B}, F) & \xrightarrow{\text{El}(k,\theta)} & \mathcal{E}\ell(\mathcal{D}, G) \\
{\scriptstyle \pi_{(\mathcal{B},F)}} \downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle \pi_{(\mathcal{D},G)}} \\
\mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\ k\ } & \mathcal{D}
\end{array}
$$

The following result tells us that discrete opfibrations correspond precisely to those lax double functors $\mathbb{L}\text{o}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\text{Mult}$ which factor through $K_* \colon \mathbb{S}\text{q}(\mathcal{S}\text{et}) \to \mathbb{S}\text{pan}$.

Lemma 32. *The following diagram commutes up to natural isomorphism.*

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{I}\text{dx}(\mathcal{C}\text{at}, \mathbb{S}\text{q}(\mathcal{S}\text{et})) & \overset{K_*}{\hookrightarrow} & \mathcal{I}\text{dx}(\mathcal{C}\text{at}, \mathbb{S}\text{pan}) \\
{\scriptstyle \mathcal{E}\ell} \downarrow {\scriptstyle \simeq} & & {\scriptstyle \simeq} \downarrow {\scriptstyle \mathcal{E}\ell} \\
\mathcal{D}\text{Opf} & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{C}\text{at}^{\mathbf{2}}
\end{array}
$$

We now address the relationship between identity-on-objects functors and globular transformations (see Definition 19) under the category of elements construction.

Lemma 33. *If $(1_{\mathcal{B}}, \theta)\colon (\mathcal{B}, F) \to (\mathcal{B}, G)$ is a morphism in $\mathfrak{Idx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{Span})$ such that θ is a globular transformation, then $\mathrm{El}(1_{\mathcal{B}}, \theta)\colon \mathrm{El}(\mathcal{B}, F) \to \mathrm{El}(\mathcal{B}, G)$ is an identity-on-objects functor.*

Conversely, if $(h, 1_{\mathcal{B}})$ is a morphism in $\mathbb{Cat}^{\mathbf{2}}$ such that h is identity-on-objects, then its image under the equivalence $\mathbb{Cat}^{\mathbf{2}} \simeq \mathfrak{Idx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{Span})$ is a globular transformation.

Proof. The component of globular transformation θ at an object b in $\mathcal{B}$ is the identity function $F(b) = G(b)$ in $\mathbb{Set}$. By construction, an object in $\mathcal{El}(\mathcal{B}, F)$ is a pair $(b \in \mathcal{B}, x \in F(b))$, and this is sent by the functor $\mathcal{El}(1_{\mathcal{B}}, \theta)$ to the object $(b \in \mathcal{B}, \theta_b(x) \in G(b))$ in $\mathcal{El}(\mathcal{B}, G)$. However, since θ is globular, $\theta_b(x) = x$ and the functor $\mathcal{El}(\mathcal{B}, \theta)$ is identity-on-objects. The proof of the converse is also straightforward, and is omitted. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 34. *There is an equivalence $\mathbb{DiaLens} \simeq \mathfrak{GlobCone}(\mathbb{Cat}, K_*)$.*

Proof. Recall from Definition 9 that a diagrammatic delta lens (f, p) is a composable pair of functors $f\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ and $p\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ such that p is identity-on-objects and fp is a discrete opfibration. By Lemma 33 and Proposition 31, a diagrammatic delta lens corresponds to a globular transformation $F_1 \Rightarrow F_2$ in $\mathfrak{Idx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{Span})$. By Lemma 32 and Proposition 30, the double functor $F_1\colon \mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{SMult}$ factors through $K_*\colon \mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Set}) \to \mathbb{Span}$. Therefore, each diagrammatic delta lens corresponds to a globular transformation $K_* \circ F_1' \Rightarrow F_2$ in $\mathfrak{Idx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{Span})$ which is precisely an object in $\mathfrak{GlobCone}(\mathbb{Cat}, K_*)$ by Definition 23 and Remark 27.

A morphism in $\mathbb{DiaLens}$ corresponds to a morphism in $\mathbb{Cat}^{\mathbf{2}}$ and a morphism in $\mathbb{DOpf}$ which are suitably compatible. Similarly, a morphism in $\mathfrak{GlobCone}(\mathbb{Cat}, K_*)$ corresponds to a morphism in $\mathfrak{Idx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{Span})$ and a morphism in $\mathfrak{Idx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Set}))$ which are suitably compatible. By Proposition 30 and Proposition 31, we have equivalences $\mathbb{DOpf} \simeq \mathfrak{Idx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Set}))$ and $\mathbb{Cat}^{\mathbf{2}} \simeq \mathfrak{Idx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{Span})$, respectively, and it straightforward to show that these extend to the desired equivalence $\mathbb{DiaLens} \simeq \mathfrak{GlobCone}(\mathbb{Cat}, K_*)$ by diagram-chasing and Lemma 32. $\qquad\square$

4.2. **Main theorem: the Grothendieck construction for delta lenses.** In Definition 5, we defined the category $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens}$ whose objects are delta lenses (see Definition 1). In Remark 27, we defined the category $\mathfrak{Idx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{SMult})$ whose objects are lax double functors $\mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{SMult}$, also called indexed split multivalued functions (see Definition 26). We now show that these categories are equivalent.

Theorem 35. *There is an equivalence of categories*

$$\mathcal{El}\colon \mathfrak{Idx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{SMult}) \simeq \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens}$$

between the categories of indexed split multivalued functions and delta lenses.

Proof. We have the following sequence of equivalences

$$\mathcal{I}dx(\mathcal{C}at, \mathbb{S}Mult) \cong \mathcal{G}lobCone(\mathcal{C}at, K_*) \simeq \mathcal{D}iaLens \simeq \mathcal{L}ens$$

by Theorem 12, Theorem 34, and Corollary 28. □

The functor $\mathcal{E}\ell \colon \mathcal{I}dx(\mathcal{C}at, \mathbb{S}Mult) \to \mathcal{L}ens$ is called the *Grothendieck construction* for delta lenses, or alternatively, the *category of elements* of an indexed split multivalued function. The action of this construction was sketched in Subsection 1.2.

5. Examples and applications

5.1. **Adjunctions of double categories.** In Proposition 7, we showed that there is a coreflective adjunction

$$\mathcal{D}Opf \xleftrightarrow[\Upsilon]{\overset{\Lambda}{\underset{\top}{\longleftarrow}}} \mathcal{L}ens$$

between the category of discrete opfibrations and the category of delta lenses. We may demonstrate that this is induced by an adjunction of *double categories.*

Recall that the double category $\mathbb{S}Mult$ admits a 1-dimensional and 2-dimensional universal property as the universal globular cone over K_*.

Given the identity (globular) transformation on K_*, there is a unique (strict) double functor $\langle 1, K_* \rangle \colon \mathbb{S}q(\mathcal{S}et) \to \mathbb{S}Mult$ such that $U_1\langle 1, K_* \rangle = 1_{\mathbb{S}q(\mathcal{S}et)}$, $U_2\langle 1, K_* \rangle = K_*$ and $\sigma \cdot \langle 1, K_* \rangle = \mathrm{id}_{K_*}$ by Theorem 21. Given the morphism

in $\mathcal{G}lobCone(\mathcal{C}at, K_*)$, there exists a unique tight transformation

(14)

such that $U_1 \cdot \varepsilon = 1_{U_1}$ and $U_2 \cdot \varepsilon = \sigma$ by Theorem 22. Applying the universal property again, we can show that $\varepsilon \cdot \langle 1, K_* \rangle = 1_{\langle 1, K_* \rangle}$, yielding the following result.

Proposition 36. *There is a coreflective adjunction*

$$\mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Set}) \xleftarrow[\langle 1, K_* \rangle]{\overset{U_1}{\underset{\top}{\longleftarrow}}} \mathbb{SMult}$$

between the double category of commutative squares in $\mathbb{Set}$ and the double category of split multivalued functions, whose counit is (14).

The category $\mathcal{Jdx}(\mathcal{Cat}, \mathbb{X})$ introduced in Definition 29 extends to a 2-functor $\mathcal{Jdx}(\mathcal{Cat}, -) \colon \mathcal{Dbl}_{\mathrm{lax}} \to \mathcal{CAT}$ from the 2-category of double categories, lax functors, and tight transformations to the 2-category of locally small categories, functors, and natural transformations Since $\mathcal{DOpf} \simeq \mathcal{Jdx}(\mathcal{Cat}, \mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Set}))$ by Proposition 30 and $\mathcal{Lens} \simeq \mathcal{Jdx}(\mathcal{Cat}, \mathbb{SMult})$ by Theorem 35, we recover the coreflective adjunction of Proposition 7 as an immediate corollary of Proposition 36.

The counit ε defined in (14) has a component at a split multivalued function $(s, X, t, \sigma) \colon A \nrightarrow B$ given by the following cell.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\;\;1_A\;\;} & A & \xrightarrow{\;t\sigma\;} & B \\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle 1_A} & {\scriptstyle 1_A} & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \sigma} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle 1_B} \\
A & \xrightarrow[\;s\;]{\;\sigma\;} & X & \xrightarrow{\;t\;} & B
\end{array}
\tag{15}
$$

Proposition 37. *There is a reflective adjunction*

$$\mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Set}) \xleftarrow[]{\overset{U_1}{\underset{\perp}{\longleftarrow}}} \mathbb{SMult}$$

whose right adjoint is the identity on objects and tight morphisms, and whose assignment on loose morphisms sends a function $A \to B$ to the split multivalued function $(\pi_A, A \times B, \pi_B, \langle 1_A, f \rangle) \colon A \nrightarrow B$.

The component of the unit of this adjunction at a split multivalued function $(s, X, t, \sigma) \colon A \nrightarrow B$ is given by the following cell.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\;\sigma\;} & X & \xrightarrow{\;t\;} & B \\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle 1_A} & {\scriptstyle s} & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \langle s,t \rangle} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle 1_B} \\
A & \xrightarrow[\;\pi_B\;]{\;\langle 1_A, t\sigma \rangle\;} & A \times B & \xrightarrow{\;\pi_B\;} & B
\end{array}
$$

Applying the 2-functor $\mathcal{J}dx(\mathcal{C}at, -)$ to this reflective adjunction of double categories induces an adjunction of categories

$$\mathcal{D}\mathrm{Opf} \underset{\longrightarrow}{\overset{U_1}{\underset{\bot}{\longleftarrow}}} \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens}$$

by Theorem 35, whose unit factorises each delta lens into an *identity-on-objects functor* followed by a *fully faithful delta lens* as discussed in Remark 8.

There is also an adjunction of categories

$$\mathbf{2} \underset{\longrightarrow}{\overset{\longleftarrow}{\underset{\bot}{}}} \mathcal{S}\mathrm{et}$$

between the interval category $\mathbf{2} = \{\bot \to \top\}$ and $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{et}$, whose fully faithful right adjoint sends $\bot$ to the empty set and $\top$ to a singleton set, and whose left adjoint sends a set to $\bot$ if it is the empty set and to $\top$ otherwise.

Corollary 38. *There is a composable pair of adjunctions as follows.*

$$\mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathbf{2}) \underset{\longrightarrow}{\overset{\longleftarrow}{\underset{\bot}{}}} \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{et}) \underset{\longrightarrow}{\overset{U_1}{\underset{\bot}{\longleftarrow}}} \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$$

The composite adjunction induces a monad on $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$, which under the 2-functor $\mathcal{J}dx(\mathcal{C}at, -)$ induces a monad on $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens}$ by Theorem 35. The unit of this adjunction factorises each delta lens into a *surjective-on-objects delta lens* followed by a *fully faithful injective-on-objects delta lens*. This recovers the (epi, mono)-factorisation on the category of small categories and delta lenses [8, Theorem 4.17].

5.2. **Split opfibrations as indexed split multivalued functions.** In Section 2.3, we defined split opfibrations as delta lenses with the property that the chosen lifts are opcartesian, thus form a full subcategory $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Opf} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens}$. We also recalled the characterisation of split opfibrations as diagrammatic delta lenses with a certain property (Proposition 14). In the same spirit as Section 4.1, we can state the Grothendieck construction for split opfibrations in terms of double categories.

Proposition 39. *There is an equivalence of categories* $\mathcal{S}\mathrm{Opf} \simeq \mathcal{J}dx(\mathcal{C}at, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathcal{C}at))$.

Proof. Given a category $\mathcal{B}$, a lax functor $\mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathcal{C}at)$ is necessarily strict, and moreover equivalent to a functor $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}at$. By the Grothendieck construction, functors $\mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}at$ correspond to split opfibrations into $\mathcal{B}$. A similar argument can be made for the morphisms, and we omit the details. $\square$

By Theorem 35, it must also be possible to characterise split opfibrations as indexed split multivalued functions with a certain property. Given a lax double

functor $F\colon \mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$, we may construct the following cell in $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ for each morphism $u\colon x \to y$ in $\mathcal{B}$, where ε_u is the component of the counit (15), and $\mu_{(u,1_y)}$ is the composition comparison cell of the lax double functor.

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
F(x) & \xrightarrow{\langle 1, K_*\rangle U_1 F(u)} & F(y) & \xrightarrow{F(1_y)} & F(y) \\
\| & \varepsilon_u & \| & 1 & \| \\
F(x) & \xrightarrow[F(u)]{} & F(y) & \xrightarrow[F(1_y)]{} & F(y) \\
\| & & \mu_{(u,1_y)} & & \| \\
F(x) & \xrightarrow[\qquad\qquad F(u)\qquad\qquad]{} & & & F(y)
\end{array}
\tag{16}
$$

Proposition 40. *A lax double functor $F\colon \mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ corresponds to a split opfibration under the equivalence $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \simeq \mathfrak{Idx}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult})$ if and only if the cell (16) is invertible (in the tight direction).*

Proof. Consider the composite of the loose morphisms $\langle 1, K_*\rangle U_1 F(u)\colon F(x) \twoheadrightarrow F(y)$ and $F(1_y)\colon F(y) \twoheadrightarrow F(y)$ in $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$, where $F(u)$ is the split multivalued function $(s_u, F(u), t_u, \varphi_u)$, and we use notation $s_y := s_{1_y}$, $t_y := t_{1_y}$ and $\varphi_y := \varphi_{1_y}$ for clarity.

Next we may consider the composite of the loose morphisms $F(u)\colon F(x) \twoheadrightarrow F(y)$ and $F(1_y)\colon F(y) \twoheadrightarrow F(y)$ in $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$.

There is a function $\varphi_u \times 1\colon F(x, 1_y) \to F(u, 1_y)$ such that $\pi_u(\varphi_u \times 1) = \varphi_u \pi_x$ and $\pi'_y(\varphi_u \times 1) = \pi_y$ by the universal property of the pullback, and a function $\mu_{(u,1_y)}\colon F(u, 1_y) \to F(u)$ from the composition comparison of the lax double functor.

The cell (16) is invertible if and only if the function

$$\mu_{(u,1_y)}(\varphi_u \times 1) \colon F(x, 1_y) \to F(u)$$

is a bijection, which holds if and only if there exists a function $\chi_u \colon F(u) \to F(1_y)$ rendering the following three diagrams in $\mathcal{S}$et commutative.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
F(u) \xrightarrow{\chi_u} F(1_y) & \qquad F(x, 1_y) \xrightarrow{\pi_y} F(1_y) & \qquad F(u) \\
\left\downarrow{\scriptstyle s_u} \quad \left\downarrow{\scriptstyle s_y} \right. & \quad \left\downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi_u \times 1} \quad \left\uparrow{\scriptstyle \chi_u} \right. & \quad \left\downarrow{\scriptstyle \langle \varphi_u s_u, \chi_u \rangle} \quad \searrow^{1_{F(u)}} \\
F(x) \xrightarrow[t_u \varphi_u]{} F(y) & \quad F(u, 1_y) \xrightarrow[\mu_{(u,1_y)}]{} F(u) & \quad F(u, 1_y) \xrightarrow[\mu_{(u,1_y)}]{} F(u)
\end{array}
$$

Altogether, these diagrams are equivalent to stating that for each $\alpha \in F(u)$ there exists a unique $\chi_u(\alpha) \in F(1_y)$ such that $\mu_{(u,1_y)}(\varphi_u s_u(\alpha), \chi_u(\alpha)) = \alpha$. Under the equivalence $\mathcal{E}\ell \colon \mathcal{J}dx(\mathcal{C}at, \mathbb{S}Mult) \simeq \mathcal{L}ens$, this means that the chosen lifts of the delta lens $\mathcal{E}\ell(\mathcal{B}, F) \to \mathcal{B}$ are *weakly opcartesian*, which by Example 13 characterises the delta lens as a split opfibration. $\qquad\square$

One novelty of this characterisation of split opfibrations is that it is much closer to the characterisation of functors as lax double functors $\mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}pan$ rather than as normal lax double functors $\mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{P}rof$ into the double category $\mathbb{P}rof$ of categories, functors, and profunctors. Proposition 40 may also be understood as providing an *indexed version* of the characterisation of internal split opfibrations as internal delta lenses [10, Proposition 5.4].

5.3. **Delta lenses as normal lax double functors.** For a double category $\mathbb{D}$ with local coequalisers preserved by loose composition, there is a double category $\mathbb{M}od(\mathbb{D})$ whose objects are loose monads, whose tight morphisms are monad morphisms, and whose loose morphisms are *bimodules* of monads [46]. Cruttwell and Shulman [17] showed that there is a correspondence as follows, where *normal* means that the unit comparison of the lax double functor is the identity.

$$\text{lax double functors } \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{D} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \text{normal lax double functors } \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{M}od(\mathbb{D})$$

Let $\mathcal{J}dx(\mathcal{C}at, \mathbb{X})_n$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{J}dx(\mathcal{C}at, \mathbb{X})$ whose objects are normal lax double functors into $\mathbb{X}$. We may restate the correspondence of Cruttwell and Shulman as follows.

Lemma 41. *There is an equivalence of categories* $\mathcal{J}dx(\mathcal{C}at, \mathbb{X}) \simeq \mathcal{J}dx(\mathcal{C}at, \mathbb{M}od(\mathbb{X}))_n$.

The double category $\mathbb{M}od(\mathbb{S}pan)$ is equivalent to the double category $\mathbb{P}rof$, since loose monads are small categories, tight monad morphisms are functors, and

bimodules of monads are profunctors. Applying Lemma 41 and Proposition 31, we recover the well-known characterisation of functors into $\mathcal{B}$ as normal lax (double) functors $\mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{P}rof$ due to Bénabou.

Corollary 42. *There is an equivalence of categories* $\mathbb{C}at^{\mathbf{2}} \simeq \mathfrak{J}dx(\mathbb{C}at, \mathbb{P}rof)_n$.

The double category $\mathbb{S}Mult$ has local coequalisers preserved by loose composition, since both $\mathbb{S}q(\mathbb{S}et)$ and $\mathbb{S}pan$ admit local coequalisers and $K_* \colon \mathbb{S}q(\mathbb{S}et) \to \mathbb{S}pan$ preserves them. Therefore, we can also characterise delta lenses as certain normal lax double functors.

Proposition 43. *There is an equivalence* $\mathcal{L}ens \simeq \mathfrak{J}dx(\mathbb{C}at, \mathbb{M}od(\mathbb{S}Mult))_n$.

Proof. Follows immediately from Theorem 35 and Lemma 41. $\qquad\square$

What are the objects, tight morphisms, and loose morphisms in $\mathbb{M}od(\mathbb{S}Mult)$? The double functor $U_2 \colon \mathbb{S}Mult \to \mathbb{S}pan$, defined in (9), is faithful, therefore we may view $\mathbb{S}Mult$ as like $\mathbb{S}pan$ with additional structure on the loose morphisms. We may also show that $\mathbb{M}od(\mathbb{S}Mult)$ can be viewed as $\mathbb{P}rof$ with additional structure on the loose morphisms.

Proposition 44. *The double functor* $\mathbb{M}od(U_2) \colon \mathbb{M}od(\mathbb{S}Mult) \to \mathbb{M}od(\mathbb{S}pan)$ *is the identity on objects and tight morphisms, and faithful.*

Therefore, a loose monad in $\mathbb{S}Mult$ is a small category, and a monad morphism is a functor. A bimodule of monads is a triple (p, f, φ) which consists of a profunctor $p \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$, corresponding to a functor $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{S}et$, a functor $f \colon \mathcal{A}_0 \to \mathcal{B}_0$ between discrete categories, corresponding to a function $f \colon \mathrm{obj}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{obj}(\mathcal{B})$, and a cell in $\mathbb{P}rof$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A}_0 & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathcal{B}_0 \\
{\scriptstyle \iota_{\mathcal{A}}}\downarrow & {\scriptstyle \varphi} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \iota_{\mathcal{B}}} \\
\mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow[p]{} & \mathcal{B}
\end{array}
$$

where $f_* \colon \mathcal{A}_0 \nrightarrow \mathcal{B}_0$ is the representable profunctor such that $f_*(a, b)$ is the singleton if $fa = b$ and the empty set otherwise, and $\iota_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\iota_{\mathcal{B}}$ are the canonical identity-on-objects functors. The cell φ above amounts to the choice of an element $\varphi_a \in p(a, fa)$ for each object $a \in \mathcal{A}$. A cell in $\mathbb{M}od(\mathbb{S}Mult)$ is a cell in $\mathbb{P}rof$ which commutes with this additional structure on loose morphisms.

There is a double functor $K'_* \colon \mathbb{S}q(\mathbb{C}at) \to \mathbb{M}od(\mathbb{S}Mult)$ which is the identity on objects and tight morphisms, and whose assignment on loose morphisms sends a

functor $f\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ to the triple (f_*, f_0, η) where $f_*\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is given by $f_*(a, b) = \mathcal{B}(fa, b)$, $f_0\colon \mathcal{A}_0 \to \mathcal{B}_0$ is determined by the underlying object assignment of f, and $\eta_a \in \mathcal{B}(fa, fa)$ chooses the element 1_{fa}.

Corollary 45. *A normal lax double functor $F\colon \mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{Mod}(\mathbb{SMult})$ corresponds to a split opfibration under the equivalence $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \simeq \mathbb{Jdx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{Mod}(\mathbb{SMult}))_n$ if and only if it factors through $K'_*\colon \mathbb{Sq}(\mathbb{Cat}) \to \mathbb{Mod}(\mathbb{SMult})$.*

Proof. Follows immediately from Proposition 39. □

Despite the characterisation of delta lenses as normal lax double functors in Proposition 43 being arguably more complex than the characterisation as lax double functors in Theorem 35, the corresponding characterisation of split opfibrations in Corollary 45 is much simpler than that of Proposition 40.

5.4. **Pullback and pushforward of indexed split multivalued functions.** There is a forgetful functor $\pi\colon \mathbb{Jdx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{SMult}) \to \mathbb{Cat}$ which sends $(\mathcal{B}, F)$ to $\mathcal{B}$; under the equivalence of Theorem 35 this corresponds to $\mathrm{cod}\colon \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \to \mathbb{Cat}$ which sends a delta lens $(f, \varphi)\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ to its codomain.

Proposition 46. *The functor $\pi\colon \mathbb{Jdx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{SMult}) \to \mathbb{Cat}$ has both a left adjoint and right adjoint.*

Proof. The left adjoint sends each category $\mathcal{B}$ to the constant strict double functor $\emptyset\colon \mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{SMult}$ which chooses the empty set. The right adjoint sends each category $\mathcal{B}$ to the constant strict double functor $*\colon \mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{SMult}$ which chooses the terminal set. □

The pullback of a delta lens along a functor (which may also have a delta lens structure) has been important in the study of *symmetric delta lenses* [21, 34]. In the context of indexed split multivalued functions, the notion of pullback is very easy to capture.

Proposition 47. *The functor $\pi\colon \mathbb{Jdx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{SMult}) \to \mathbb{Cat}$ is a fibration.*

Proof. Given an object $(\mathcal{B}, F\colon \mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{SMult})$ in $\mathbb{Jdx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{SMult})$ and a morphism $k\colon \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{B}$ in $\mathbb{Cat}$, there is a cartesian lift

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\ \mathbb{Lo}(k) \circ F\ } & \\
{\scriptstyle \mathbb{Lo}(k)}\Big\downarrow & {\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}}\Big\Vert & \mathbb{SMult} \\
\mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow[\ F\ ]{} &
\end{array}
$$

given by pre-composition of F by $\mathbb{L}o(k)$. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\square$

Surprisingly, there is also a notion of pushforward of a delta lens along a functor out of its codomain. In the setting of double categories, this amounts to the left Kan extension of a lax double functor $F\colon \mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ along a strict double functor $\mathbb{L}o(k)\colon \mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{D})$. Although Left Kan extensions in this setting have been considered by Grandis and Paré [30], it is unclear if $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ has enough colimits in general. Fortunately, we are able to work in the setting of diagrammatic delta lenses where the pushforward is relatively easy to compute.

Proposition 48. *The functor $\pi\colon \mathfrak{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}) \to \mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}$ is an opfibration.*

Proof. The equivalence $\mathfrak{D}\mathrm{iaLens} \simeq \mathfrak{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult})$ is a fibrewise equivalence with respect to the functor $\pi\colon \mathfrak{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}) \to \mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}$, so it is enough to show that the functor $\mathrm{cod}\colon \mathfrak{D}\mathrm{iaLens} \to \mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}$ is an opfibration.

Given an object $(f\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}, p\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A})$ in $\mathfrak{D}\mathrm{iaLens}$ and a morphism $g\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}$, the opcartesian lift may be computed as follows.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{initial}} & \mathcal{Y} \\
{\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & \text{i.o.o}\ \downarrow & \\
\mathcal{A} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A} +_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y} \\
{\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\ g\ } & \mathcal{C}
\end{array}
$$

First, we may consider the composite functor $gfp\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{C}$ and take its comprehensive factorisation, giving an initial functor $\mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ and a discrete opfibration $h\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{C}$. Next, we can take the pushout of $p\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{A}$ along the initial functor to obtain an identity-on-objects functor $q\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{A} +_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y}$ since p is identity-on-objects and these are stable under pushout. Finally, using the universal property of the pushout, we obtain a functor $[gf, h]\colon \mathcal{A} +_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{C}$, which when precomposed with the identity-on-objects functor $q\colon \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{A} +_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{Y}$ yields a discrete opfibration h.

Therefore, we have a candidate opcartesian morphism $(f, p) \to ([gf, h], q)$ in $\mathfrak{D}\mathrm{iaLens}$. To show that has the appropriate universal property, one uses the orthogonality property of the comprehensive factorisation system, and the universal property of the pushout; we omit the straightforward diagram-chasing. $\qquad\square$

By Proposition 47 and Proposition 48, the functor $\pi\colon \mathfrak{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}) \to \mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}$ is a *bifibration*; we let $[\mathbb{L}o(\mathcal{B}), \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}]_{\mathrm{lax}}$ denote its fibre over an object $\mathcal{B}$ in $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}$.

Corollary 49. *For each functor $f\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$, there is an adjunction*

$$[\mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{B}), \mathbb{SMult}]_{\mathrm{lax}} \xleftarrow[\Delta_f]{\overset{\Sigma_f}{\underset{\perp}{\longleftarrow}}} [\mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{A}), \mathbb{SMult}]_{\mathrm{lax}}$$

Proof. The right adjoint Δ_f is given by taking the cartesian lift of the morphism $f\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$, while Σ_f is given by taking the opcartesian lift of f. Since $\pi\colon \mathfrak{Jdx}(\mathbb{Cat}, \mathbb{SMult}) \to \mathbb{Cat}$ is bifibration, it follows immediately that these functors are adjoint. $\qquad\square$

5.5. **Characterising retrofunctors as indexed split multivalued functions.** A retrofunctor is a kind of morphism of categories first introduced under the name *cofunctor* [2], and shares a close relationship with delta lenses.

Definition 50. Given categories $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, a *retrofunctor* $(f, \varphi)\colon \mathcal{A} \nrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ consists of a function $f\colon \mathrm{obj}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{obj}(\mathcal{B})$ equipped with a lifting operation

$$(a \in \mathcal{A}, u\colon fa \to b \in \mathcal{B}) \quad \longmapsto \quad \varphi(a, u)\colon a \to a' \in \mathcal{A}$$

such that the following axioms hold, where $\mathrm{cod}(-)$ denotes the codomain.

(R1) $f\,\mathrm{cod}(\varphi(a, u)) = \mathrm{cod}(u)$;

(R2) $\varphi(a, 1_{fa}) = 1_a$;

(R3) $\varphi(a, v \circ u) = \varphi(a', v) \circ \varphi(a, u)$.

This is almost identical to Definition 1 for a delta lens, with the key difference being that the functor $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ has been replaced with a function $\mathrm{obj}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathrm{obj}(\mathcal{B})$ and the first axiom has been weakened accordingly.

Although retrofunctors are typically understood as morphisms in a category (or a double category), here we will treat them as objects of a category.

Definition 51. Let $\mathfrak{RetFun}$ denote the category whose objects are retrofunctors, and whose morphisms $(h, k)\colon (f, \varphi) \to (g, \psi)$, as depicted below, consist of a pair of functors such that $kf(a) = gh(a)$ and $h\varphi(a, u) = \psi(ha, ku)$.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{A} & \overset{h}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{C} \\
{\scriptstyle(f,\varphi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle(g,\psi)} \\
\mathcal{B} & \underset{k}{\longrightarrow} & \mathcal{D}
\end{array}
$$

There is a faithful functor $U\colon \mathcal{Lens} \to \mathfrak{RetFun}$ which sends a delta lens (f, φ) to its underlying retrofunctor (f_0, φ) where f_0 is the underlying object assignment of the functor f.

Proposition 52 ([12, Theorem 9]). *The functor $U\colon \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \to \mathcal{R}\mathrm{etFun}$ is comonadic.*

The right adjoint $R\colon \mathcal{R}\mathrm{etFun} \to \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens}$ sends a retrofunctor $(f, \varphi)\colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ to the delta lens $(Rf, R\varphi)\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$ where $\mathcal{X}$ has the same objects as $\mathcal{A}$ and whose morphisms are pairs $(w\colon a \to a' \in \mathcal{A}, u\colon fa \to fa' \in \mathcal{B})\colon a \to a'$. The functor $Rf\colon \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{B}$ is given by f on objects, and projection in the second component on morphisms. Given an object $a \in \mathcal{X}$ and a morphism $u\colon fa \to b$ in $\mathcal{B}$, the chosen lift $R\varphi(a, u)$ is the morphism $(\varphi(a, u), u)\colon a \to a'$ in $\mathcal{X}$.

Definition 53. A *cofree delta lens* is a delta lens in the image of the right adjoint $R\colon \mathcal{R}\mathrm{etFun} \to \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens}$.

The relationship between delta lenses and retrofunctors is far richer than the adjunction between their respective categories. For example, there is a correspondence between retrofunctors and certain spans of functors [9], leading to an equivalence of categories analogous to $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \simeq \mathcal{D}\mathrm{iaLens}$ in Theorem 12. Similarly, there is a correspondence between retrofunctors and certain globular transformations "over" the double functor $K_*\colon \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{et}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}$, leading to an equivalence of categories analogous to $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \simeq \mathcal{G}\mathrm{lobCone}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, K_*)$ for delta lenses. Instead of proving this result in detail, which would take us outside the scope of this paper, we show how each retrofunctor determines a globular transformation of double functors, and provide a characterisation of the cofree delta lenses.

Given a category $\mathcal{B}$, let $\mathcal{B}_\infty$ denote the *codiscrete category* determined by its underlying set of objects, and $j_\mathcal{B}\colon \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}_\infty$ the canonical identity-on-objects functor.

Proposition 54. *Each retrofunctor $(f, \varphi)\colon \mathcal{A} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ determines a globular transformation of lax double functors as follows.*

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}) & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(j_\mathcal{B})} & \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}_\infty) \\
F_1 \downarrow & \overset{\Phi}{\Longrightarrow} & \downarrow F_2 \\
\mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{et}) & \xrightarrow[K_*]{} & \mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}
\end{array}
\tag{17}
$$

Proof. Since Φ is a globular transformation, F_1 and F_2 have the same assignment on objects which we denote by F. Let $F(x) = \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid fa = b\}$ for each object $x \in \mathcal{B}$. Given a morphism $u\colon x \to y$, we define the function $F_1(u)\colon F(x) \to F(y)$ by the assignment $a \mapsto \mathrm{cod}(\varphi(a, u))$; the (strict) double functor $F_1\colon \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{et})$ is well-defined by the axioms of retrofunctor. Given a pair of objects (x, y), we

define the set $F_2(x, y) = \{w\colon a \to a' \in \mathcal{A} \mid fa = x, fa' = y\}$ and a span

$$F(x) \xleftarrow{\ s_x\ } F(x, y) \xrightarrow{\ t_y\ } F(y)$$

where $s_x(w\colon a \to a') = a$ and $t_y(w\colon a \to a') = a'$. This extends to a lax double functor $F_2\colon \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}_\infty) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}$, where the unit and multiplication comparison cells determined by the identity and composition of $\mathcal{A}$. Finally, the globular transformation Φ has the component at a morphism $u\colon x \to y$ in $\mathcal{B}$ given by the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
F(x) & \xleftarrow{\ 1_{F(x)}\ } & F(x) & \xrightarrow{\ F_1(u)\ } & F(y) \\
\| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Phi_u} & & \| \\
F(x) & \xleftarrow{\ s_x\ } & F(x, y) & \xrightarrow{\ t_y\ } & F(y)
\end{array}
$$

where $\Phi_u(a) = \varphi(a, u)$. $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad\square$

Given a globular transformation (17), we may easily obtain the globular cone over K_* given the triple $(\mathcal{B}, F_1, F_2 \circ \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(j_B))$. This describes the assignment on objects of the functor $\mathcal{R}\mathrm{etFun} \to \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \simeq \mathcal{G}\mathrm{lobCone}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, K_*)$ and provides a way of characterising the objects in the essential image.

Proposition 55. *A globular cone*

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}) \\
{\scriptstyle F_1}\swarrow \quad {\scriptstyle \varphi}\Downarrow \quad \searrow{\scriptstyle F_2} \\
\mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{et}) \xrightarrow[\ K_*\ ]{} \mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}
\end{array}
$$

corresponds to a cofree delta lens under the equivalence $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \simeq \mathcal{G}\mathrm{lobCone}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, K_*)$ if and only if F_2 factors through the double functor $\mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(j_\mathcal{B})\colon \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}_\infty)$.

5.6. **The category of elements as a right adjoint.** In Proposition 52, we recalled that delta lenses are coalgebras for a comonad on the category of retrofunctors. There is also a dual result, which states that delta lenses are algebras for a monad on the category of functors.

Proposition 56 ([14, Corollary 24]). *The functor $U\colon \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \to \mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}^{\mathbf{2}}$ is monadic.*

The category of elements of an indexed split multivalued function describes a functor $\mathcal{E}\ell\colon \mathcal{I}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}) \to \mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}$ which given by following the composite.

$$\mathcal{I}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}) \xrightarrow{\ \simeq\ } \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \xrightarrow{\ U\ } \mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}^{\mathbf{2}} \xrightarrow{\ \mathrm{dom}\ } \mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}$$

The functor $\mathrm{dom}\colon \mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}^{\mathbf{2}} \to \mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}$ which sends a functor to its domain category has a left adjoint, which sends a category to its identity functor. The functor $U\colon \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \to \mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}^{\mathbf{2}}$ has a left adjoint by Proposition 56. Finally, $\mathcal{E}\ell\colon \mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}) \to \mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens}$ is an (adjoint) equivalence, whose left adjoint is described explicitly in Section 1.2. Thus, we can characterise the category of elements of an indexed split multivalued function as right adjoint to the functor which constructs the indexed multivalued valued function corresponding to the free delta lens on the identity functor.

Proposition 57. *The functor $\mathcal{E}\ell\colon \mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}) \to \mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}$ has a left adjoint.*

Proof. We may define the left adjoint $\mathrm{Fr}\colon \mathbb{C}\mathrm{at} \to \mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult})$ explicitly. Given a category $\mathcal{B}$, the lax double functor $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{B}}\colon \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ is given as follows. For each object $x \in \mathcal{B}$, we define the set $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{B}}(x) = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}(b, x)$ and for each morphism $u\colon x \to y$ in $\mathcal{B}$ we define the set

$$\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{B}}(u) = \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{B}}(x) + \{(b_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha} x, x \xrightarrow{\beta} b_2, b_2 \xrightarrow{\gamma} b_3, b_3 \xrightarrow{\delta} y) \mid \beta\alpha = 1_{b_1}, \delta\gamma\beta = u, \gamma \neq 1\}.$$

To construct the split multivalued function

$$\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \underset{s_u}{\overset{\sigma_u}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{B}}(u) \xrightarrow{t_u} \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{B}}(y)$$

we define the following functions.

$$s_u(\alpha\colon b \to x) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad s_u(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = \alpha$$
$$t_u(\alpha\colon b \to x) = u \circ \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad s_u(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = \delta$$
$$\sigma_u(\alpha\colon b \to x) = \alpha$$

Defining the function $\mu_{(u,v)}\colon F(u, v) \to F(v \circ u)$ corresponding to the multiplication comparison of the lax double functor is fairly complex, and we refer the reader to [15, Construction 3.16] where they may infer the details. $\qquad\square$

5.7. **Monoidal structures on indexed split multivalued functions.** The double category $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ admits a cartesian and a cocartesian monoidal structure, since $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathbb{S}\mathrm{et})$ and $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{pan}$ have products and coproducts and the double functor K_* preserves them. These induce corresponding monoidal structures on category $\mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult})$ and the fibres $[\mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}), \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}]_{\mathrm{lax}}$ of the forgetful functor $\pi\colon \mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}) \to \mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}$.

Proposition 58. *The category $\mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathbb{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult})$ has products and coproducts.*

Proof. Given lax double functors $F\colon \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ and $G\colon \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{D}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$, their product is given by the lax double functor $F \times G\colon \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{D}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ where

$(F \times G)(x, x') = F(x) \times G(x')$ for each object $(x, x') \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{D}$, and $(F \times G)(u, u')$ is the split multivalued function

$$F(x) \times G(x') \overset{\sigma_u \times \sigma_{u'}}{\underset{s_u \times s_{u'}}{\rightleftarrows}} F(u) \times G(u') \xrightarrow{t_u \times t_{u'}} F(y) \times G(y')$$

for each morphism $(u\colon x \to y, u'\colon x' \to y')$ in $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{D}$. Dually, the coproduct $F + G$ is given by the component-wise coproduct on $\mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{D})$. $\qquad\square$

Proposition 59. *The category* $[\mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}), \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}]_{\mathrm{lax}}$ *has products and coproducts.*

Proof. Given lax double functors $F\colon \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ and $G\colon \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$, their product is given by the lax double functor $F \times_{\mathcal{B}} G\colon \mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ where $(F \times_{\mathcal{B}} G)(x) = F(x) \times G(x)$ for each object $x \in \mathcal{B}$, and $(F \times_{\mathcal{B}} G)(u)$ is the split multivalued function

$$F(x) \times G(x) \overset{\sigma_u \times \sigma_u}{\underset{s_u \times s_u}{\rightleftarrows}} F(u) \times G(u) \xrightarrow{t_u \times t_u} F(y) \times G(y)$$

for each morphism $u\colon x \to y$ in $\mathcal{B}$. Dually, the coproduct $F +_{\mathcal{B}} G$ is given by the component-wise coproduct. $\qquad\square$

The product in $[\mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}), \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}]_{\mathrm{lax}}$ corresponds to the fibre product of delta lenses which has been used in the study of symmetric delta lenses [11, Proposition 3.4].

5.8. **Sub-double categories and classes of delta lenses.** We can capture many natural classes of delta lenses under the equivalence $\mathcal{L}\mathrm{ens} \simeq \mathcal{J}\mathrm{dx}(\mathcal{C}\mathrm{at}, \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult})$ by restricting the lax double functors $\mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ which factor through some (fully faithful) double functor $\mathbb{X} \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$.

We have already seen examples of this kind in Section 5.1. An indexed split multivalued function $\mathbb{L}\mathrm{o}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ corresponds to:

- a discrete opfibration if it factors through $\langle 1, K_* \rangle\colon \mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{et}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ defined in Proposition 36;
- a fully faithful delta lens if it factors through $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(\mathcal{S}\mathrm{et}) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ defined in Proposition 37;
- an injective-on-objects delta lens if it factors through $\mathbb{S}\mathrm{q}(2) \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ defined in Corollary 38.

Let $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{Set} \to \mathbb{S}\mathrm{Mult}$ denote the full sub-double category with a single object given by the singleton set. Loose morphisms in $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{Set}$ are *pointed sets* and their composition given by the product of pointed sets.

Let $\mathbb{SMult}_{\neq\emptyset} \to \mathbb{SMult}$ denote the full sub-double category whose objects are *non-empty sets*.

Let $\mathbb{RelSMult} \to \mathbb{SMult}$ denote the full sub-double category with the same objects and whose loose morphisms are given by split multivalued functions whose underlying span is a *relation*.

Let $\mathbb{SMono} \to \mathbb{SMult}$ denote the full sub-double category with the same objects and whose loose morphisms are given by *split monomorphisms*; that is, split multivalued functions of the following form.

$$A \xrightarrow[\underset{s}{\twoheadleftarrow}]{\overset{\sigma}{\rightarrowtail}} B \xrightarrow{1_B} B \qquad s \circ \sigma = 1_A$$

Proposition 60. *A lax double functor $\mathbb{Lo}(\mathcal{B}) \to \mathbb{SMult}$ corresponds to:*

- *a bijective-on-objects delta lens if it factors through $\mathbb{PSet} \to \mathbb{SMult}$;*
- *a surjective-on-objects delta lens if it factors through $\mathbb{SMult}_{\neq\emptyset} \to \mathbb{SMult}$;*
- *a faithful delta lens if it factors though $\mathbb{RelSMult} \to \mathbb{SMult}$;*
- *a delta lens whose underlying functor is a* discrete fibration *if it factors through $\mathbb{SMono} \to \mathbb{SMult}$.*

6. Acknowledgements

References

[1] Faris Abou-Saleh, James Cheney, Jeremy Gibbons, James McKinna, and Perdita Stevens. Introduction to bidirectional transformations. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 9715 (2018).

[2] Marcelo Aguiar. Internal categories and quantum groups. PhD thesis, Cornell University (1997).

[3] Danel Ahman and Tarmo Uustalu. Directed containers as categories. *Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science*, Vol. 207 (2016).

[4] Danel Ahman and Tarmo Uustalu. Taking Updates Seriously. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, Vol. 1827 (2017).

[5] Benedikt Ahrens and Peter LeFanu Lumsdaine. Displayed Categories. *Logical Methods in Computer Science*, Vol. 15 (2019).

[6] John Bourke and Richard Garner. Algebraic weak factorisation systems I: Accessible AWFS. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, Vol. 220 (2016).

[7] Mitchell Buckley. Fibred 2-categories and bicategories. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, Vol. 218 (2014).

[8] Emma Chollet, Bryce Clarke, Michael Johnson, Maurine Songa, Vincent Wang, and Gioele Zardini. Limits and colimits in a category of lenses. *Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science*, Vol. 372 (2022).

[9] Bryce Clarke. Internal lenses as functors and cofunctors. *Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science*, Vol. 323 (2020).

[10] Bryce Clarke. Internal split opfibrations and cofunctors. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, Vol. 35 (2020).

[11] Bryce Clarke. A diagrammatic approach to symmetric lenses. *Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science*, Vol. 333 (2021).

[12] Bryce Clarke. Delta lenses as coalgebras for a comonad. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, Vol. 2999 (2021).

[13] Bryce Clarke. The double category of lenses. PhD thesis, Macquarie University (2022).

[14] Bryce Clarke. The algebraic weak factorisation system for delta lenses. *Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science*, Vol. 397 (2023).

[15] Bryce Clarke. Lifting twisted coreflections against delta lenses. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, Vol. 41 (2024).

[16] G. S. H. Cruttwell, M. J. Lambert, D. A. Pronk, and M. Szyld. Double fibrations. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, Vol. 38 (2022).

[17] G. S. H. Cruttwell and Michael A. Shulman. A unified framework for generalized multicategories. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, Vol. 24 (2010).

[18] Krzysztof Czarnecki, J. Nathan Foster, Zhenjiang Hu, Ralf Lämmel, Andy Schürr, and James F. Terwilliger. Bidirectional transformations: A cross-discipline perspective. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 5563 (2009).

[19] Robert Dawson, Robert Paré, and Dorette Pronk. The span construction. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, Vol. 24, (2010).

[20] Matthew Di Meglio. Coequalisers under the lens. *Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science*, Vol. 372 (2022).

[21] Matthew Di Meglio. Universal properties of lens proxy pullbacks. *Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science*, Vol. 380 (2023).

[22] Zinovy Diskin, Yingfei Xiong, and Krzysztof Czarnecki. From state- to delta-based bidirectional model transformations: The asymmetric case. *Journal of Object Technology*, Vol. 10 (2011).

[23] Charles Ehresmann. Catégories structurées. *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, Vol. 80 (1963).

[24] Jacopo Emmenegger, Luca Mesiti, Giuseppe Rosolini, and Thomas Streicher. A comonad for Grothendieck fibrations. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, Vol. 40 (2024).

[25] J. Nathan Foster, Michael B. Greenwald, Jonathan T. Moore, Benjamin C. Pierce, and Alan Schmitt. Combinators for bidirectional tree transformations: A linguistic approach to the view-update problem. *ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems*, Vol. 29 (2007).

[26] Jeremy Gibbons and Michael Johnson. Relating algebraic and coalgebraic descriptions of lenses. *Electronic Communications of the EASST*, Vol. 49 (2012).

[27] Marco Grandis. Higher Dimensional Categories: From Double to Multiple Categories. *World Scientific* (2019).

[28] Marco Grandis and Robert Paré. Limits in double categories. *Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques*, Vol. 40 (1999).

[29] Marco Grandis and Robert Paré. Adjoint for double categories. *Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques*, Vol. 45 (2004).

[30] Marco Grandis and Robert Paré. Lax Kan extensions for double categories. *Cahiers de Topologie et Géométrie Différentielle Catégoriques*, Vol. 48 (2007).

[31] Marco Grandis and Walter Tholen. Natural weak factorization systems. *Archivum Mathematicum*, Vol. 42 (2006)

[32] Alexander Grothendieck and Michèle Raynaud. Revêtements Étales et Groupe Fondamental: Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1960/61 (SGA 1). *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 224 (1971).

[33] Michael Johnson and Robert Rosebrugh. Delta lenses and opfibrations. *Electronic Communications of the EASST*, Vol. 57 (2013).

[34] Michael Johnson and Robert Rosebrugh. Spans of delta lenses. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, Vol. 1396 (2015).

[35] Michael Johnson and Robert Rosebrugh. Unifying set-based, delta-based and edit-based lenses. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*, Vol. 1571 (2016).

[36] Michael Johnson, Robert Rosebrugh, and Richard Wood. Algebras and update strategies. *Journal of Universal Computer Science*, Vol. 16 (2010).

[37] Stephen Lack. Icons. *Applied Categorical Structures*, Vol. 18 (2010).

[38] Stephen Lack and Michael Shulman. Enhanced 2-categories and limits for lax morphisms. *Advances in Mathematics*, Vol. 229 (2012).

[39] Michael Lambert. Discrete double fibrations. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, Vol. 37 (2021).

[40] Graham Manuell. Monoid extensions and the Grothendieck construction. *Semigroup Forum*, Vol. 105 (2022).

[41] Joe Moeller and Christina Vasilakopoulou. Monoidal Grothendieck construction. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, Vol. 35 (2020).

[42] David Jaz Myers. Double Categories of Open Dynamical Systems (Extended Abstract). *Electronic Proceedings in Theoretical Computer Science*, Vol. 333 (2021).

[43] Robert Paré. Yoneda theory for double categories. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, Vol. 25 (2011).

[44] Robert Paré. Retrocells. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, Vol. 40 (2024).

[45] D. Pavlović and S. Abramsky. Specifying interaction categories. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Vol. 1290 (1997).

[46] Michael Shulman. Framed bicategories and monoidal fibrations. *Theory and Applications of Categories*, Vol. 20 (2008).

[47] David I. Spivak, Richard Garner, and Aaron David Fairbanks. Functorial aggregation. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, Vol. 229 (2025).

[48] Ross Street. Fibrations and Yoneda's lemma in a 2-category. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 420 (1974).

TALLINN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, TALLINN, ESTONIA

Email address: bryce.clarke@taltech.ee

URL: bryceclarke.github.io